
Multiple Landau level filling for a large magnetic field limit of 2D fermions

Denis PÉRICE



Unité de mathématiques pures et appliquées
École normale supérieure de lyon

Abstract :

Motivated by the quantum hall effect, we study N two dimensional interacting fermions in a large magnetic field limit. We work in a bounded domain, ensuring finite degeneracy of the Landau levels. In our regime, several levels are fully filled and inert: the density in these levels is constant. We derive a limiting mean-field and semi classical description of the physics in the last, partially filled Landau level.

November, 2024

Contents



I	Context and result	4
	I.1 Model	4
	I.2 Semi-classical limit model	7
	I.3 Main results	9
	I.4 Scaling	10
	I.5 Organisation of the paper	12
II	Quantization	13
	II.1 Magnetic translation operators	13
	II.2 Landau Level quantization	14
	II.3 Expression of the eigenfunctions	15
III	Projectors on Landau levels	19
	III.1 nLL projectors	19
	III.2 Integral kernels of the projectors	20
IV	A Lieb-Thirring inequality	22
	IV.1 Reduced densities	22
	IV.2 A Kato inequality with periodic boundary conditions	23
	IV.3 Diamagnetic inequality	25
	IV.4 Lieb-Thirring inequality	28
V	Semi-classical limit	33
	V.1 Husimi functions	33
	V.2 Semi-classical energy	34
VI	Mean field limit	38
	VI.1 Energy upper bound	38
	VI.2 Energy lower bound	47
	VI.3 Conclusion	56
VII	Appendix: technical proofs	58

I Context and result

I.1 Model

We consider a system of N interacting fermionic particles in two dimensions. They are placed under a homogeneous magnetic field perpendicular to the domain. In this context the kinetic energy of the particles is quantized into discrete energy levels called Landau levels, separated by a finite energy gap. This problem has initially been studied by Lieb Solovej and Yngvason in [15], [16], [17], [18], [19] and more recently by Fournais, Lewin and Madsen in [4], [6].

Our goal is to study the mean field and semi-classical limit under high magnetic field so the Landau level quantization plays an important role. This setup is related to that of [15] where three regimes are studied. In the first one, the energy gap is small with respect to the potential contributions in the energy so particles occupy all Landau levels and a standard Thomas–Fermi model is obtained in the limit. In the second one, the energy gap is comparable to the potential energy terms, particles optimise both their Landau level and their position in the potentials and the limit is a magnetic Thomas-Fermi model. For the last scaling, the gap is large compared to the potential energies so all particles occupy the lowest Landau level and the limit is described with a classical continuum electrostatic theory in this level. We want to deal with the intermediate situation where only a finite number of Landau levels are completely filled. Precisely, our result is a limit where the q first Landau Level are fully filled, the next Landau level is partially filled with filling ratio $r < 1$ and all higher Landau levels are empty. We also provide a model for the physics in the partially filled Landau level. This setup is physically motivated by the quantum Hall effect which mostly takes place in a partially filled Landau level while lower Landau levels are filled and inert, and higher levels are empty, see [10].

In this perspective we want to fix the limit ratio of the number of particles to the degeneracy of Landau levels. On the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 this degeneracy is infinite. To ensure finiteness of the degeneracy of the Landau levels (see Subsection II.3), we work on a bounded domain. For simplicity, we would like to consider a torus with periodic boundary conditions. But, in the presence of a magnetic field the periodic boundary conditions must be modified. This is a well known issue, for example see [10, Section 3.9]. As explained in Subsection II.1, we define magnetic translation operators to ensure commutation with the magnetic momentum. These magnetic translations operators define the so called magnetic periodic boundary conditions.

Notation I.1: Model

We work on the domain $\Omega := [0, L]^2$ of fixed size $L > 0$. The one body kinetic energy operator, also called magnetic Laplacian, is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} := (i\hbar\nabla + bA)^2 \tag{I.1}$$

We work in the Coulomb gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot A = 0$$

where $A \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is the vector potential. Identifying \mathbb{R}^2 with $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we assume

$$\nabla \wedge A = (0, 0, 1) \tag{I.2}$$

b is the magnetic field amplitude with associated magnetic length

$$l_b := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{b}}$$

We identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} and use complex notation for the variables $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ namely

$$(x, y) = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$$

Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, by (I.2)

$$\nabla \wedge (A - A(\bullet - z_0)) = 0$$

so we can choose $\varphi_{z_0} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$A - A(\bullet - z_0) =: l_b^2 \nabla \varphi_{z_0} \quad (\text{I.3})$$

For some usual expressions see (II.3) and (II.4). As detailed in Subsection II.1, for $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ the magnetic periodic boundary conditions are

$$\forall t \in [0, L], \begin{cases} \psi(L + it) = e^{i\varphi_L(L+it)} \psi(it) \\ \psi(t + iL) = e^{i\varphi_{iL}(t+iL)} \psi(t) \end{cases} \quad (\text{I.4})$$

and the domain of the magnetic Laplacian is

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b, A}) := \{\psi \in H^2(\Omega) \text{ such that (I.4) holds}\} \quad (\text{I.5})$$

Now, the N -body Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_N := \sum_{j=1}^N \left((i\hbar \nabla_{x_j} + bA(x_j))^2 + V(x_j) \right) + \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w(x_j - x_k) \quad (\text{I.6})$$

acting on the space of N -body fermionic states

$$L_-^2(\Omega^N) := \bigwedge^N L^2(\Omega).$$

We denote $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}^2/L\mathbb{Z}^2$. $V \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the external potential and $w \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ the interaction potential assumed to be radial for the metric on the torus:

$$w(x - y) =: \tilde{w}(d(x, y)) \text{ with } d(x, y) := \min_{r \in L\mathbb{Z}^2} |x - y + r|$$

The domain of the N -body Hamiltonian (I.6) is

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_N) := \bigwedge^N \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b})$$

We define the N -body ground state energy

$$E_N^0 := \inf \{ \langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle, \psi_N \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_N) \text{ such that } \|\psi_N\|_{L^2} = 1 \} \quad (\text{I.7})$$

There are $N(N - 1)/2$ interacting pairs of fermions. Thus, we divide the interactions term by $(N - 1)/2$ so that the order of the contribution coming from interactions is $\mathcal{O}(N)$ and comparable to the contribution coming from the external potential.

As we will see in [Subsection II.2](#), the self adjointness of the magnetic Laplacian and the existence of its eigenvectors require the magnetic field flux bL^2 going through the domain to be quantized in multiples of $2\pi\hbar$:

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } 2\pi d = \frac{b}{\hbar} L^2 = \frac{L^2}{l_b^2}$$

In [Subsection II.3](#) we will explain that d is the degeneracy of Landau levels. Now, we can fix the number of filled Landau levels by choosing a scaling for which the ratio N/d is fixed.

Notation I.2: Scaling

We take Planck's constant to be a sequence $\hbar := (\hbar_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ll \hbar \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}} \tag{I.8}$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}, r \in [0, 1), b := (b_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$d := \frac{L^2}{2\pi l_b^2} \in \mathbb{N}^* \tag{I.9}$$

and

$$\frac{N}{d} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{=} q + r + o\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) \tag{I.10}$$

where $E^* := E \setminus \{0\}$ for $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

q will give the number of fully filled Landau levels and r the filling ratio of the $(q + 1)^{th}$ Landau level. Note that the lowest Landau level index is 0 in our convention. With this notation,

$$\frac{N}{d} = \frac{2\pi l_b^2 N}{L^2} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} q + r \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{l_b^2} = \frac{b}{\hbar} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2\pi N}{(q + r)L^2} \tag{I.11}$$

With this scaling, we find that the order of the magnetic field is $b = \mathcal{O}(\hbar N)$. It is known [\(II.10\)](#), that the order of the kinetic energy is

$$\hbar b = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2 N) \gg 1 \tag{I.12}$$

The kinetic energy contribution needs to be of leading order compared to the potential terms if we want to impose the number of filled Landau level and this is true if and only if

$$\hbar^2 N \gg 1$$

hence the lower bound in [\(I.8\)](#). The upper bound $\hbar \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ is necessary in our approach to control some error terms coming from the kinetic energy. This is also the reason why we impose the convergence rate in [\(I.10\)](#). This scaling is a semi-classical limit because Planck's constant goes to 0.

I.2 Semi-classical limit model

In the limit, we obtain a semi-classical model where the energy no longer depends on the wavefunction but on the density in phase space. This comes with a non linearity in the interaction term. The phase space is $\mathbb{N} \times \Omega$. This means that particles have two degrees of freedom: the first one is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the quantum number representing the Landau Level index and $x \in \Omega$ representing the position of particles in space. In classical mechanics, one can think of x as the center of the cyclotron orbit of the particles and n as the index of the quantized angular velocity of the cyclotron orbit. This model is semi-classical in the sense that the Pauli principle still holds as a bound on the density.

Notation I.3: Semi-classical functional

We consider the measure on phase space

$$\eta := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_n \right) \otimes \lambda_\Omega$$

where λ_Ω is the Lebesgue measure on Ω . For $m \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$, define

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[m] := \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m(n, R) d\eta(n, R) + \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} V m d\eta + \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^2} w m^{\otimes 2} d\eta^{\otimes 2} \quad (\text{I.13})$$

where, as we will see in Section II,

$$E_n := 2\hbar b \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (\text{I.14})$$

is the energy of the n^{th} Landau level. Define the semi-classical domain

$$\mathcal{D}_{sc} := \left\{ m \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega) \text{ such that } \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m d\eta = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{(q+r)L^2} \right\} \quad (\text{I.15})$$

and the semi-classical ground state energy

$$E_{sc, \hbar b}^0 := \inf_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{sc}} \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[m]$$

We then define the model for the partially filled Landau level that only depends on the density.

Notation I.4: Electrostatic model for the partially filled level

Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho] := \int_{\Omega} V \rho + \iint_{\Omega^2} w(x-y) \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \quad (\text{I.16})$$

with domain

$$\mathcal{D}_{qLL} := \left\{ \rho \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } \int_{\Omega} \rho = \frac{r}{q+r} \text{ and } 0 \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{(q+r)L^2} \right\} \quad (\text{I.17})$$

The associated ground state energy is

$$E_{qLL}^0 := \inf_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL}$$

We define the following energies:

$$E^{q,r} := \frac{q^2 + 2qr + r}{q+r} \quad E_V^{q,r} := \frac{q}{(q+r)L^2} \int_{\Omega} V \quad E_w^{q,r} := \frac{q^2 + 2qr}{(q+r)^2 L^4} \int_{\Omega^2} w$$

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_{qLL}$, define

$$m_{\rho}(n, x) := \mathbb{1}_{n < q} \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} + \mathbb{1}_{n=q} \rho(x) \quad (\text{I.18})$$

m_{ρ} is a phase space density constructed with the qLL lowest Landau levels saturating the Pauli principle in (I.15) and (I.17) and with the density ρ in the partially filled Landau level. The ratio of particles in the partially filled Landau level is

$$\frac{r}{q+r}$$

This corresponds to the normalization constraint in (I.17). With this we see that the Pauli principle is indeed the correct bound on the densities to have

$$\int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m_{\rho} d\eta = 1$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\rho}] = \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho] \quad (\text{I.19})$$

where

- $\hbar b E^{q,r}$ is the kinetic energy contribution from the $q+1$ lowest Landau levels
- $E_V^{q,r}$ is the external potential energy contribution from the q lowest Landau levels
- $E_w^{q,r}$ is the energy contribution from interactions between the q lowest Landau levels and the interactions between the q lowest Landau levels and the $(q+1)^{th}$ Landau level. In other words, it contains all the interactions except those inside the partially filled level.

If w has a non-negative fourier transform, this functional is convex and thus has a unique minimizer. For example, with $V = 0$, the minimizer is

$$\rho_0 := \frac{r}{(q+r)L^2}$$

I.3 Main results

We can now state our main result:

Theorem I.5: *Mean field limit with magnetic periodic conditions*

With the definitions introduced in Notation I.1, Notation I.2, Notation I.3, Notation I.4,

$$\frac{E_N^0}{N} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{=} \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + E_{qLL}^0 + o(1)$$

This means that in the limit, the first order in the quantum many body energy per particle is the trivial energy $\hbar b E^{q,r}$. Then for terms of order 1, the only non trivial contribution to the energy are the external potential term and the interaction term inside the partially filled Landau level. The lower Landau levels are totally filled and therefore their contribution to the energy is constant. The interaction of the partially filled level with all other level will also be a constant. For higher Landau levels, their contribution to the energy is null because they are totally empty.

The regularity assumptions on the potentials are not minimal, we expect this result to hold true if potentials have an L^1 positive part and an L^2 negative part. Under these assumptions, one needs to prove that the particles will not concentrate in the L^1 positive singularities of the potentials. This has been done in [15] for the repulsive $1/|x|$ Coulomb potential. We will not deal with this issue in this paper.

The number of variables of the densities is going to infinity in our limit, thus we look at reduced densities.

Notation I.6: *Reduced densities*

We denote \mathcal{L}^p the set of p -Schatten class operators along with $\|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}$ the p -Schatten norm. Let $\gamma_N \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2_-(\Omega^N))$ a positive operator of trace 1. We call such an operator an N -body density matrix. We will denote in the same way operators and their integral kernel. We introduce compact notation for lists:

$$1 : n := (1, \dots, n) \quad x_{1:n} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

The density associated to γ_N is

$$\rho_{\gamma_N}(x_{1:N}) := \gamma_N(x_{1:N}, x_{1:N})$$

Let Tr_I be the partial trace that traces out coordinates in $I \subset \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$ of $L^2(\Omega)^{\otimes N}$, it is defined as the linear operator on $\mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^N))$ satisfying

$$\forall A_{1:N} \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega)), \text{Tr}_I \left[\bigotimes_{i=1}^N A_i \right] := \text{Tr} \left[\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i \right] \bigotimes_{i \notin I} A_i$$

Let $1 \leq k < N$, we define the k^{th} reduced density matrix associated to γ_N by

$$\gamma_N^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{k+1:N} [\gamma_N] \tag{I.20}$$

with the convention that $\gamma_N^{(N)} := \gamma_N$. For an N variables symmetric density ρ_N we denote $\rho_N^{(k)}$ its k^{th} marginal. If one starts from $\psi_N \in L^2_-(\Omega^N)$ we use the notation

$$\gamma_{\psi_N} := |\psi_N\rangle \langle \psi_N|$$

$$\rho_{\psi_N} := \rho_{\gamma_{\psi_N}} = |\psi_N|^2 \quad (\text{I.21})$$

Note that with this notation

$$\rho_{\gamma_N^{(k)}} = \rho_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} \quad (\text{I.22})$$

The domain Ω is a locally compact metric space, the set of Radon measures on it is the dual of continuous and compactly supported functions

$$\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = C_c^0(\Omega)^*$$

In our case, since Ω is compact this is just the dual of continuous functions. We denote $\mathcal{M}_+(\Omega)$ the set of positive Radon measures. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be the set of probabilities on Ω :

$$\mathcal{P}(\Omega) := \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\Omega) \text{ such that } \mu(\Omega) = 1\}$$

On this space the weak star topology is metrizable using a Wasserstein metric [13, Section 7.12]. Moreover $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is also locally compact [14, section 17.E], thus it is possible to iterate and define the space of probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ namely $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\Omega))$.

Now, we have the following theorem for the convergence of reduced densities:

Theorem I.7: *Densities convergence with magnetic periodic conditions*

With the definitions introduced in Notation I.1, Notation I.2, Notation I.3, Notation I.4, Notation I.6, if (ψ_N) is a sequence of minimizers of (I.7), then $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{qLL})$ such that

- μ only charges minimizers of the limit energy functional (I.16)
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, in the sense of Radon measures

$$\rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{*} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right)^{\otimes k} d\mu(\rho) \quad (\text{I.23})$$

The density of particles converge to a convex combination of densities of the form

$$\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho$$

From the Pauli principle in (I.17) we see that the constant term in this expression corresponds to particles in the q lowest and fully filled Landau levels. Then the density of particles in the partially filled Landau level is given by a minimizer ρ of the limit functional (I.16).

I.4 Scaling

Another way to obtain the scaling in Notation I.2 is to observe that we have two characteristic length-scales:

- $\frac{L}{\sqrt{N}}$, measuring the mean distance between particles

- l_b , the magnetic length, which, in classical mechanics corresponds to the radius of a cyclotron orbit. Due to the Pauli principle, l_b will be the order of the minimum distance between particles inside a Landau level. More precisely the Pauli principle takes the form of an upper bound on the density in phase space.

The square ratio of these length is

$$\frac{L^2}{Nl_b^2} = \frac{bL^2}{\hbar N} \quad (\text{I.24})$$

If this ratio goes to zero, the mean distance between particles is very small compared to the minimal length-scale between two particles in a fixed Landau level. This implies that the particles must fill many Landau levels and this corresponds to the scaling in [15] where the energy gap between Landau level is small compared to the potential terms.

If this ratio goes to infinity, the mean distance between particles is very large compared to the minimal length-scale between two particles in a fixed Landau Level. As a consequence, all particles can be placed in the lowest Landau level and this corresponds to the regime in [15] where particles only occupy the lowest Landau level and do not feel the Pauli principle.

In the limit we study, we see from (I.11) that the ratio (I.24) has been fixed to be

$$\frac{L^2}{Nl_b^2} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi}{q+r} \quad (\text{I.25})$$

in order to fill a finite number of Landau levels. In our limit we fixed L and took l_b going to zero, but one can also ensure (I.25) by fixing a magnetic length $\tilde{l}_b > 0$ and taking a domain length \tilde{L} going to infinity as

$$\tilde{L} := \frac{\tilde{l}_b}{l_b} L \quad (\text{I.26})$$

In this limit the density of particles in the domain Ω is fixed:

$$\frac{\tilde{L}^2}{N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{l}_b^2 \frac{2\pi}{q+r} \quad (\text{I.27})$$

Those limits are equivalent in the sense that the N -body Hamiltonian (I.6) is unitarily equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \mathcal{H}_{N,\tau} := \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N ((i\hbar_\tau \nabla_j + b_\tau A_\tau(x_j))^2 + V_\tau(x_j)) + \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w_\tau(x_j - x_k) \right) \quad (\text{I.28})$$

where

$$\hbar_\tau := \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\tau}} \quad A_\tau := \frac{1}{\tau} A(\tau \bullet) \quad b_\tau := \tau^{\frac{3}{2}} b \quad V_\tau := \tau V(\tau \bullet) \quad w_\tau := \tau w(\tau \bullet)$$

Taking, $\tau := L/\tilde{L}$ and using (I.28), we confirm that the new magnetic length is

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar_\tau}{b_\tau}} = \frac{\tilde{L} l_b}{L} = \tilde{l}_b$$

Moreover if one chooses

$$A = A_{Land} \quad V(x) = \varrho * \frac{1}{|x|} \quad w(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$$

then the vector potential and the interaction potential are not rescaled :

$$A_\tau = A \quad w_\tau = w$$

If we assume that the external potential is generated by a background charge density $\varrho \in L^1(\Omega)$ it transforms as

$$V_\tau(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tau \varrho(\tau x - y) \frac{1}{|y|} dy = \int_{[0, \tilde{L}]^2} \tau^2 \varrho(\tau(y - x)) \frac{1}{|y|} dy =: \varrho_\tau * \frac{1}{|x|}$$

The re-scaling preserves the total charge

$$\int_{[0, \tilde{L}]^2} \varrho_\tau dx = \int_{\Omega} \varrho dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{N, \tau} = \sum_{j=1}^N \left((i\hbar_\tau \nabla_j + b_\tau A(x_j))^2 + \rho_\tau * \frac{1}{|x|} \right) + \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w(x_j - x_k)$$

We conclude that our initial scaling is equivalent to a thermodynamic limit.

I.5 Organisation of the paper

The next two sections contain preparations and necessary tools. [Section II](#) is about the diagonalisation of the magnetic Laplacian [\(I.1\)](#). In [Section III](#) we define the orthogonal projection on Landau levels and localise it in space, this will be the central object in the definition of the semi-classical densities. Then we prove a Lieb-Thirring inequality in [Section IV](#) to deal with L^2 potentials. The last two sections contain the proof of [Theorem I.5](#) and [Theorem I.7](#). In [Section V](#) we justify the semi-classical approximation and express the energy in terms of semi-classical densities. Finally, in [Section VI](#) we prove the mean-field approximation giving an upper and a lower energy bound. [Section VII](#) contains technical lemmas whose proofs can safely be skipped by the reader.

II Quantization

In this Section, we recall the diagonalization of the magnetic Laplacian (I.1). We construct an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$ adapted to the Landau levels in terms of magnetic periodic eigenstates of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ (II.15). This fact is already well known in the literature, see [11], [12] or in [10, section 3.9]. Thus the reader may go directly to (II.15). Then, we will prove another expression for the eigenfunctions in Proposition II.7 using the Poisson summation formula.

II.1 Magnetic translation operators

In this subsection we explain the definition of the boundary conditions (I.4).

Notation II.1

Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, define the translation operator on $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$T_{z_0}u := u(\bullet - z_0)$$

We define the magnetic translation operators as

$$\tau_{z_0} := e^{i\varphi_{z_0}}T_{z_0} \quad (\text{II.1})$$

They define the conditions (I.4) on $\partial\Omega$. Let $k \geq 1$, the magnetic periodic Sobolev space is

$$H^k_{mp}(\Omega) := \{\psi \in H^k(\Omega) \text{ such that (I.4) hold}\}$$

We will use similar notation for other usual functional spaces where the subscript mp stands for magnetic periodic and p for periodic. The domain of the magnetic momentum

$$\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} := i\hbar\nabla + bA$$

is

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}) := H^1_{mp}(\Omega)$$

In Coulomb gauge, there exists $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that the vector potential satisfies

$$A = \nabla^\perp \phi := \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_y \phi \\ \partial_x \phi \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.2})$$

For $k > 1$, $H^k(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^0(\Omega)$, so the conditions (I.4) are well defined. For $k = 1$ they are defined with the trace operator T and $\psi|_\Omega := T\psi$.

For some examples of Coulomb gauges, one can take the symmetric gauge:

$$\phi_{sym} := \frac{|z|^2}{4} \quad A_{sym} := \frac{1}{2}(x, y)^\perp := \frac{1}{2}(-y, x) \quad \varphi_{z_0, sym} := \frac{x_0y - y_0x}{2l_b^2} \quad (\text{II.3})$$

or the Landau gauge:

$$\phi_{Lan} := \frac{y^2}{2} \quad A_{Lan} = (-y, 0) \quad \varphi_{z_0, Lan} := -\frac{y_0 x}{l_b^2} \quad (\text{II.4})$$

If we insert the Landau gauge (II.4) in (I.4) we get the boundary conditions in Landau gauge:

$$\forall t \in [0, L], \begin{cases} \psi(L + it) = \psi(it) \\ \psi(t + iL) = e^{-i\frac{Lt}{l_b^2}} \psi(t) \end{cases} \quad (\text{II.5})$$

We here emphasize the importance of the flux quantization (I.9). We are able to impose magnetic periodic boundary conditions in both directions if and only if the flux is quantized:

$$[\tau_L, \tau_{iL}] = 0 \iff \frac{L^2}{l_b^2} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$$

and in this case,

$$\forall k \geq 1, H_{mp}^k(\Omega) = \{\psi|_{\Omega}, \psi \in H_{loc}^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ such that } \tau_L \psi = \tau_{iL} \psi = \psi\} \quad (\text{II.6})$$

In view of (II.6) we will identify $\psi \in H_{mp}^k(\Omega)$ and its extension on \mathbb{R}^2 from now on. The magnetic Laplacian (I.1) commutes with the magnetic translations defined in (I.3), (II.1):

$$[\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b}, \tau_{z_0}] = 0 \text{ on } H_{mp}^1(\Omega) \text{ and } [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}, \tau_{z_0}] = 0 \text{ on } H_{mp}^2(\Omega)$$

II.2 Landau Level quantization

This subsection is devoted to the usual formalism for the description of the magnetic Laplacian in terms of annihilation and creation operators. More details about these operators and the properties of Landau levels can be found in [3].

Notation II.2

We denote by π_x, π_y the coordinates of the magnetic momentum:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} =: \begin{pmatrix} i\hbar\partial_x + bA_x \\ i\hbar\partial_y + bA_y \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \pi_x \\ \pi_y \end{pmatrix}$$

and define the annihilation and creation operators respectively as

$$a := \frac{\pi_y - i\pi_x}{\sqrt{2\hbar b}} \quad a^\dagger := \frac{\pi_y + i\pi_x}{\sqrt{2\hbar b}} \quad (\text{II.7})$$

and the number of excitation operator $\mathcal{N} := a^\dagger a$.

The quantization of the magnetic Laplacian comes from the following commutation relations:

$$[\pi_x, \pi_y] = i\hbar b \quad (\text{II.8})$$

$$[a, a^\dagger] = \text{Id} \text{ (canonical commutation relation)}$$

$$[\tau_{z_0}, a] = [\tau_{z_0}, a^\dagger] = 0 \quad (\text{II.9})$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} = 2\hbar b \left(\mathcal{N} + \frac{\text{Id}}{2} \right) \quad (\text{II.10})$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ defines the Sobolev space $(\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}), \langle \bullet \rangle_{\mathcal{L}})$ with

$$\langle \chi|\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} := \langle \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}\chi|\psi \rangle$$

which is equivalent to $(H_{mp}^2(\Omega), \langle \bullet \rangle_{H^1})$. The quadratic form defined by $\langle \bullet \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is continuous, Hermitian and coercive on $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b})$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ is a closed positive self-adjoint operator and the embedding

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

is continuous and compact.

$H_{mp}^2(\Omega)$ contains the smooth and compactly supported functions, so it is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$. We can conclude using the Lax-Milgram theorem [2, Corollary 4.26] that the resolvent of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ is well defined and compact. Applying the spectral theorem to the resolvent of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ proves that its spectrum is punctual and $L^2(\Omega)$ is a Hilbertian direct sum of eigenspaces of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$. The same conclusions also holds for the N -body Hamiltonian (I.6) since the magnetic Laplacian is of dominant order in it. \mathcal{N} inherits the properties of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$ and it is well known that

$$\text{sp}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{N}$$

Notation II.3: Landau levels

We define the n^{th} Landau level as the eigenspace associated to $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{nLL} := \{ \psi \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) \text{ such that } \mathcal{N}\psi = n\psi \}$$

* The ground level, denoted LLL for *Lowest Landau Level* has energy $E_0 = \hbar b$.

The Landau levels are isomorphic and the operator $a^\dagger/\sqrt{n+1}$ is a unitary map from nLL to (n+1)LL of inverse $a/\sqrt{n+1}$ [3]. This means that one can generate a basis of any Landau level with successive applications of a^\dagger on basis elements of LLL.

II.3 Expression of the eigenfunctions

It is well known [3] that

$$\text{LLL} \subset \ker(a) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)e^{-\frac{\phi}{i_b^2}}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is the set of holomorphic functions and ϕ is defined in (II.2). This proves that the zeros of $\psi \in \text{LLL}$ are given by the zeros of a holomorphic function. Since zeros of a holomorphic function must be isolated, the compactness of the domain implies that eigenfunctions have a finite number of zeros. Actually, this number of zeros is d defined in (I.9), and therefore independent of the state, see [11, section 1] as a reference.

Notation II.4: Theta functions

Let τ be a complex parameter in the upper half plane, we define

$$\theta(z, \tau) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau k^2 + 2i\pi k z}$$

Following the proof of [12] or [22, Chapter V Theorem 8], the Landau levels have a finite degeneracy and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Dim}(n\text{LL}) = d$$

With a direct computation we can express the eigenfunctions of the magnetic Laplacian (I.1) in term of theta functions. The following family, indexed by $l \in \llbracket 0, d-1 \rrbracket$, is an orthonormal basis of the lowest Landau level in Landau gauge:

$$\psi_{0l}(z) := \frac{\pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2i\pi k d \frac{x}{L} - \frac{1}{2i_b^2} (y + kL + l\frac{L}{d})^2} \quad (\text{II.11})$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{-\frac{\pi l^2}{d} - \frac{y^2}{2i_b^2} + 2i\pi l \frac{z}{L}} \theta\left(d\frac{z}{L} + il, id\right) \quad (\text{II.12})$$

One can check that the above eigenfunctions satisfy the boundary conditions (II.5). Using (II.11) we observe the L -periodicity along the real axis. Along the imaginary axis we increment the index k by 1:

$$\psi_{0l}(z + iL) = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2i\pi k d \frac{x}{L} - \frac{1}{2i_b^2} (y + (k+1)L + l\frac{L}{d})^2} = e^{-2i\pi d \frac{x}{L}} \psi_{0l}(z)$$

and obtain the magnetic periodic boundary conditions in Landau gauge (II.4). The lowest Landau level is then generated by successive magnetic translations. Indeed if $l \in \llbracket 0, d-1 \rrbracket$,

$$\psi_{0l} = \left(\tau_{-i\frac{L}{d}}\right)^l \psi_{00} = \tau_{-il\frac{L}{d}} \psi_{00} \quad (\text{II.13})$$

In order to obtain a full basis of L^2 , we only need to apply successively a^\dagger to generate the Landau levels and $\tau_{-i\frac{L}{d}}$ to generate the eigenfunctions inside a Landau level. The successive applications of a^\dagger bring out Hermite polynomials.

Notation II.5: Hermite polynomials

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the n^{th} Hermite polynomial by

$$H_n := (-1)^n e^{x^2} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n e^{-x^2} \quad (\text{II.14})$$

Using this, a direct computation shows that the following family indexed by $(n, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \llbracket 0, d-1 \rrbracket$ is a Hilbert basis of eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}$ in Landau gauge:

$$\psi_{nl} := \frac{a^{\dagger n}}{\sqrt{n!}} \left(\tau_{-i\frac{L}{d}}\right)^l \psi_{00}$$

$$= \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y + kL + l \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi k d \frac{x}{L} - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(y + kL + l \frac{L}{d} \right)^2} \quad (\text{II.15})$$

with the normalization factor

$$c_n := \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{n!}} \left(\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n$$

As expected with our boundary conditions the modulus of the eigenfunctions:

$$|\psi_{nl}| = \left| \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y + kL + l \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi k d \frac{x}{L} - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(y + kL + l \frac{L}{d} \right)^2} \right| \quad (\text{II.16})$$

is periodic on the lattice $L\mathbb{Z}^2$, but the periodicity along the real axis is even shorter. Indeed we see in (II.16) that $|\psi_{nl}|$ is L/d -periodic in x .

We can write another useful form of equation (II.15) using the Poisson summation formula. The advantage of the expression in Proposition II.7 is the fact that the index l is decoupled from the polynomials and the Gaussian factors which is not the case in (II.15). This will simplify the computation of the Landau level's projector when we will sum over l in (III.4).

Notation II.6: *Fourier transform*

We use the convention

$$\mathcal{F}g(\nu) := \hat{g}(\nu) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{-i\nu x} dx$$

for which \mathcal{F} is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. And denote the Hermite function

$$h_n(x) := H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad (\text{II.17})$$

In this convention the Poisson summation formula is

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g(k) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(2\pi k) \quad (\text{II.18})$$

h_n are the eigenfunctions of the one dimensional harmonic oscillator and of the Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}h_n = (-i)^n h_n \quad (\text{II.19})$$

with the following normalization

$$\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 = \sqrt{\pi} 2^n n! \quad (\text{II.20})$$

With this we are ready for the next computation (see Section VII):

Proposition II.7: *Poisson summation of eigenfunctions*

In Landau gauge,

$$\psi_{nl}(z) = \tilde{c}_n \frac{\sqrt{l_b}}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-i\frac{xy}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{-2i\pi k \left(\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d} \right) - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(x + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2}$$

with the normalization factor

$$\tilde{c}_n := \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} (-1)^n 2^{\frac{1-n}{2}}}{\sqrt{n!}}$$



III Projectors on Landau levels

From the construction of an $L^2(\Omega)$ basis adapted to Landau levels, we define the projectors on Landau levels in [Notation III.1](#). Since the phase space is $\mathbb{N} \times \Omega$ we also want to localise the projectors in space. Then we prove some properties of the projector that will be needed for the semi-classical analysis. In [Proposition III.2](#) we give an equivalent for the diagonal of the projector's integral kernel, and in [Corollary III.3](#) an equivalent for its trace.

III.1 nLL projectors

Notation III.1: Projectors

The orthogonal projector on nLL is

$$\Pi_n := \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} |\psi_{nl}\rangle \langle \psi_{nl}|$$

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$ radial with support included in the ball $B(0, L/2)$ such that $\|g\|_{L^2} = 1$. Let $\lambda \geq 1$, define the localizer $g_\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$g_\lambda(x) := \begin{cases} \lambda g(\lambda x) & \text{if } x \in B(0, \frac{L}{2\lambda}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\|g_\lambda\|_{L^2} = 1$$

$\forall X := (n, R) \in \mathbb{N} \times \Omega$, define the localised projector

$$\Pi_X := \Pi_{n,R} := g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \tag{III.1}$$

We assume the following scaling for $\lambda := (\lambda_N)_N$:

$$1 \ll \lambda \ll \frac{N^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\hbar^2} \tag{III.2}$$

This localised projector was introduced by Lieb, Solovej and Yngvason in [20] and [21] where it has been called coherent operator. We take the bounds (III.2) in order to have $g_\lambda^2 \xrightarrow{*} \delta$ so the projector is well localised and

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{l_b} \lambda = \hbar b \lambda l_b \ll 1$$

This is necessary because $\hbar b \lambda l_b$ is the order of some error terms coming from the kinetic energy (for example in [Proposition VI.6](#)). $\forall X := (n, R) \in \mathbb{N} \times \Omega$, Π_n and Π_X are positive satisfy the

following resolution of identity:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi_n = \text{Id}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \Pi_X d\eta(X) = \text{Id} \quad (\text{III.3})$$

III.2 Integral kernels of the projectors

From Proposition II.7, using

$$\sum_{l=0}^{d-1} e^{2i\pi l \frac{p-k}{d}} = d \mathbb{1}_{p=k \pmod{d}} \quad (\text{III.4})$$

and the notations (II.17) and $x := x_1 + ix_2$, $y = y_1 + iy_2$, the expression of the nLL-projector's kernel is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L l_b} e^{i \frac{y_1 y_2 - x_1 x_2}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x_1 + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y_1 + qL + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) \\ &\cdot e^{2i\pi k \frac{y_2 - x_2}{L} + 2i\pi dq \frac{y_2}{L} - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(x_1 + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(y_1 + qL + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.5})$$

The simplification for the sum in l is the reason why we used the Poisson formula on eigenfunctions. The argument does not work on the expression in (II.15) since the Gaussian terms depend on l . If we consider the same setup on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 instead of Ω , the expression of the projector in Landau gauge becomes (see [10, Section 3.2]):

$$\Pi_0^\infty(x, y) := \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{2l_b^2} + i \frac{\text{Im}[x\bar{y}]}{4l_b^2} + i \frac{y_1 y_2 - x_2 x_1}{2l_b^2}}$$

The next proposition states that the diagonal of the projector's kernel on Ω converges to that of the projector on the whole space. This is expected since the limit is equivalent to a scaling where the size of the domain goes to infinity.

Proposition III.2: *Convergence of the integral kernel*

The kernel (III.5) satisfies

$$\left\| \Pi_n(z, z) - \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C(n)}{L l_b} \quad (\text{III.6})$$

Moreover with notation (II.17),

$$\left\| (\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{h}, b} \Pi_n)(z, z) - \frac{b}{l_b} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi \|h_n\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{pmatrix} i h'_n(u) \\ u h_n(u) \end{pmatrix} h_n(u) e^{-u^2} du \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(n) b \quad (\text{III.7})$$

The proof of this result is purely about the convergence of some Riemann sums, see Section VII. Finally, we compare the trace of $\Pi_{n,R}$ to the trace of the projector on the whole space.

Corollary III.3: *Approximation of the projector's trace*

$$\left| \text{Tr} [\Pi_{n,R}] - \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} \right| \leq \frac{C(n)}{l_b}$$

Proof:

This is a direct consequence of Proposition III.2 after integrating on $z \in \Omega$:

$$\text{Tr} [\Pi_{n,R}] = \int_{\Omega} \Pi_{n,R}(z, z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} \int_{\Omega} g_{\lambda}(z - R)^2 dz + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{l_b}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{l_b}\right)$$

IV A Lieb-Thirring inequality

In this section we prove a Lieb-Thirring inequality for the magnetic Laplacian with magnetic periodic boundary conditions:

Theorem IV.1: *Kinetic energy inequality*

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega))$ a positive operator, then for large enough b ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\gamma}^2 \leq \frac{C \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}}}{\hbar^2} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma] \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

Moreover if $\psi_N \in L^2_-(\Omega^N)$ with $\|\psi_N\|_{L^2} = 1$,

$$\left\| \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)}] \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} V \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)}] \|V\|_{L^2} \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

$$\int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)}] \|w\|_{L^2} \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

This result is well known in the absence of magnetic field, see [8, Theorem 5.2]. We adapt the proof of [9, Chapter 4] to magnetic periodic boundary conditions. To achieve this we prove the following sequence of inequalities: a Kato inequality (Lemma IV.2), a diamagnetic inequality for Green functions (Proposition IV.5), a Lieb-Thirring inequality (Theorem IV.6) from which we deduce the inequality on the kinetic energy (Theorem IV.1). The reader already familiar with Lieb-Thirring inequalities might jump to Section V.

IV.1 Reduced densities

We give here some usual properties of the reduced density matrices, see Notation I.6. Let γ_N be an N -body density matrix,

$$\frac{\text{Tr} [\mathcal{H}_N \gamma_N]}{N} = \text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma_N^{(1)}] + \text{Tr} [w \gamma_N^{(2)}] \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

moreover, reduced densities inherit trace and Pauli principle from γ_N :

$$\text{Tr} [\gamma_N^{(k)}] = 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_N^{(k)} \leq \frac{k! (N-k)!}{N!} \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

We can also express the reduced density matrices in term of integral kernels:

$$\gamma_N^{(k)}(x_{1:k}, y_{1:k}) := \int_{\Omega^{N-k}} \gamma_N(x_{1:k}, x_{k+1:N}; y_{1:k}, x_{k+1:N}) dx_{k+1:N} \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

The reduced density matrices are symmetric under permutation of coordinates:

$$\forall \sigma \in S_k, \gamma_N^{(k)}(x_{\sigma(1:k)}, y_{\sigma(1:k)}) = \gamma_N^{(k)}(x_{1:k}, y_{1:k})$$

and consistent:

$$\forall q \in \llbracket 1 : k \rrbracket, \gamma_N^{(q)}(x_{1:q}, y_{1:q}) = \int_{\Omega^{k-q}} \gamma_N(x_{1:q}, x_{q+1:k}; y_{1:q}, x_{q+1:k}) dx_{q+1:k}$$

Note that the densities $\rho_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}$ inherit the symmetry and the consistency from the density matrices.

IV.2 A Kato inequality with periodic boundary conditions

One can look at [24, Theorem X.27] for a proof of the Kato inequality in the non magnetic case.

Lemma IV.2: Periodic Kato inequality

Define the complex sign

$$s(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} & \text{if } u \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ then $|u| \in H^1(\Omega)$ and

$$|\hbar \nabla |u|| \leq |\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} u| \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

Moreover if $|u|$ is periodic, then

$$-\hbar^2 \Delta |u| \leq \text{Re} [s(u) \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} u] \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

in the weak sense on $C_p^\infty(\Omega)$, or equivalently, $\forall \varphi \in C_p^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$-\hbar^2 \int_{\Omega} |u| \Delta \varphi \leq \int_{\Omega} \text{Re} [s(u) \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} u] \varphi$$

Proof:

$$\frac{1}{2} \hbar \nabla |u|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \nabla (\bar{u} u) = \text{Re} [\bar{u} \hbar \nabla u] = \text{Re} [\bar{u} (\hbar \nabla - ibA) u]$$

so taking absolute values

$$\left| \hbar \frac{\nabla |u|^2}{2} \right| \leq |u| |\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} u| \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

Define

$$u_\epsilon = \sqrt{|u|^2 + \epsilon^2} \in C_p^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$$

Using (IV.9),

$$|\hbar \nabla u_\epsilon| = \frac{|\hbar \nabla |u|^2|}{2u_\epsilon} \leq \frac{|u|}{u_\epsilon} |\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} u| \leq |\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} u| \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

So ∇u_ϵ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and converges weakly to $v \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ up to sequence of ϵ . Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\text{int}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}^2)$, since $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 \leq u_\epsilon - |u| \leq \epsilon$

$$\int_{\Omega} v \cdot \varphi = \lim \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_\epsilon \cdot \varphi = - \lim \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon \nabla \cdot \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} |u| \nabla \cdot \varphi$$

so $v = \nabla |u|$ and the bound (IV.10) passes to the limit and we obtain (IV.7).

To prove (IV.8) we use polar coordinates

$$u =: |u| e^{i\theta}$$

Let $x \in \Omega$, if $|u|(x) \neq 0$, $|u|$ is smooth on a neighbourhood V_x of x where $|u| > 0$ and thus

$$\nabla u_\epsilon = \frac{|u|}{u_\epsilon} \nabla |u| \rightarrow \nabla |u| \text{ pointwise on } V_x$$

$e^{i\theta} = u/|u|$ is also smooth and up to another restriction of V_x we can invert the complex exponential so θ is smooth. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[s(u)\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}u] &= \text{Re}[e^{-i\theta}(-\hbar^2\Delta + 2i\hbar bA \cdot \nabla + i\hbar b(\nabla \cdot A) + |bA|^2)|u|e^{i\theta}] \\ &= -\hbar^2\Delta|u| + \text{Re}[-|u|e^{-i\theta}\hbar^2\Delta e^{i\theta} - 2i\hbar^2\nabla|u| \cdot \nabla\theta + 2i\hbar bA \cdot \nabla|u|] \\ &\quad - 2\hbar b|u|A \cdot \nabla\theta + |u||bA|^2 \\ &= -\hbar^2\Delta|u| + \text{Re}[-i\hbar^2|u|\Delta\theta + |u|\hbar^2|\nabla\theta|^2] - 2\hbar b|u|A \cdot \nabla\theta + |u||bA|^2 \\ &= -\hbar^2\Delta|u| + \hbar^2|u||\nabla\theta|^2 - 2\hbar b|u|A \cdot \nabla\theta + |u||bA|^2 \geq -\hbar^2\Delta|u| \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $u(x) = 0$ then x is a local minimum of u_ϵ so

$$\Delta u_\epsilon(x) \geq 0$$

Let $\varphi \in C_p^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$, since u_ϵ and φ are periodic

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon \Delta \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \Delta u_\epsilon \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \Delta u_\epsilon \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

Now we take $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, u_ϵ converges uniformly to $|u|$ so

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon \Delta \varphi \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u| \Delta \varphi \quad (\text{IV.12})$$

Using $|u| \leq u_\epsilon$, when $u(x) \neq 0$,

$$\Delta u_\epsilon = \nabla \cdot \frac{|u| \nabla |u|}{u_\epsilon} = \frac{|\nabla |u||^2 + |u| \Delta |u|}{u_\epsilon} - \frac{|u|^2 |\nabla |u||^2}{u_\epsilon^3} \geq \frac{|u|}{u_\epsilon} \Delta |u| \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

so (IV.11) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon} \Delta \varphi \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \frac{|u|}{u_{\epsilon}} \Delta |u| \quad (\text{IV.14})$$

With dominated convergence using inequality (IV.13),

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \frac{|u|}{u_{\epsilon}} \Delta |u| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \Delta |u| \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

With (IV.14), (IV.12) and (IV.15) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u| \Delta \varphi \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \Delta |u|$$

we can conclude that

$$-\hbar^2 \int_{\Omega} |u| \Delta \varphi \leq -\hbar^2 \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \varphi \Delta |u| \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus u^{-1}(\{0\})} \operatorname{Re} [s(u) \mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} u] \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} [s(u) \mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} u] \varphi$$

IV.3 Diamagnetic inequality

The diamagnetic inequality in terms of Green functions allows us to restrict ourselves to the non magnetic case.

Notation IV.3: *Green functions*

Resolvents of $-\hbar^2 \Delta$ with periodic boundary conditions and $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}$ are well defined for $\lambda > 0$:

$$G_{bA, \lambda} := (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} + \lambda)^{-1} \quad G_{\lambda} := (-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda)^{-1}$$

Their integral kernels define the corresponding Green functions.

They have the following properties:

Property IV.4

Let $x \in \Omega$, then $G_{bA, \lambda}(x, \bullet), G_{\lambda}(x, \bullet) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$G_{\lambda}(x, y) = G_{\lambda}(x - y) = G_{\lambda}(y - x) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k \in \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda} e^{ik \cdot (x-y)} \geq 0$$

Proof:

The periodic Laplacian is diagonalizable in the plane wave basis indexed by $k \in \frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$e_k(x) := \frac{1}{L} e^{ik \cdot x}$$

Indeed

$$-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda = \sum_{k \in \frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbb{Z}^2} (\hbar^2 k^2 + \lambda) |e_k\rangle \langle e_k|$$

so

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k \in \frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{\hbar^2 k^2 + \lambda} e^{ik \cdot (x-y)}$$

A change of index $k := -k$ gives $G_\lambda(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, since $G_\lambda f$ solves

$$(-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda)u = f, u \in H_p^2(\Omega)$$

by the Lax-Milgram theorem, $G_\lambda f$ is the unique minimizer of the following functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := \int_{\Omega} (\hbar^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 - fu) dx$$

Assuming $f \geq 0$, we see that $\mathcal{J}(u) \geq \mathcal{J}(|u|)$ and conclude that $G_\lambda f \geq 0$. This implies that $G_\lambda(x, y) \geq 0$. Finally,

$$-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda \geq \lambda \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} + \lambda \geq \lambda$$

so

$$\|G_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ and } \|G_{bA, \lambda}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

and $G_{bA, \lambda}(x, \bullet), G_\lambda(\bullet, y) \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Now we prove a diamagnetic inequality:

Proposition IV.5: *Diamagnetic inequality for Green functions*

For all $x \in \Omega$ and for almost every $y \in \Omega$,

$$|G_{bA, \lambda}(x, y)| \leq G_\lambda(x, y)$$

Proof:

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, by definition

$$\int_{\Omega} G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet) (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + \lambda) \varphi = G_{bA,\lambda}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + \lambda) \varphi = \varphi$$

so, in the distributional sense

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + \lambda) G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet) = \delta_x \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$ radial with support included in the ball $B(0, L/2)$ such that $\|\rho\|_{L^1} = 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, define the localizer $\rho_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$\rho_n(x) := \begin{cases} n^2 \rho(nx) & \text{if } x \in B(0, \frac{L}{2n}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Since ρ_n is periodic, the regularisation

$$u_x := G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet) * \rho_n \in C_p^\infty(\Omega)$$

Thus, equation (IV.16) becomes

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + \lambda) u_x = \delta_x * \rho_n = \rho_n(x - \bullet) \quad (\text{IV.17})$$

We estimate

$$\text{Re} [s(u_x) (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + \lambda) u_x] = \text{Re} [s(u_x) \rho_n(x - \bullet)] \leq \rho_n(x - \bullet)$$

Then we apply Kato's inequality (IV.7) to u_x , use $s(u_x)u_x = |u_x|$ and obtain

$$(-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda) |u_x| \leq \text{Re} [s(u_x) \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} u_x] + \lambda |u_x| \leq \rho_n(x - \bullet) \quad (\text{IV.18})$$

in a weak sense on $C_p^\infty(\Omega)^*$.

Similarly as (IV.17),

$$(-\hbar^2 \Delta + \lambda) \rho_n * G_\lambda(\bullet, y) = \rho_n(y - \bullet)$$

Thus testing inequality (IV.18) on $\rho_n * G_\lambda(\bullet, y) \in C_p^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_x(z)| \rho_n(y - z) dz \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho_n(x - z) \rho_n * G_\lambda(\bullet, y)(z) dz$$

With the changes of variables $t := t + x - y$, $z := z + x - y$ and Property IV.4,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet) * \rho_n| * \rho_n(y) &\leq \iint_{\Omega^2} \rho_n(x - z) \rho_n(z - t) G_\lambda(t - y) dz dt \\ &= \iint_{\Omega^2} \rho_n(2x - y - z) \rho_n(z - t) G_\lambda(t - x) dz dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \rho_n * \rho_n * G_\lambda(x, \bullet)(2x - y) \quad (\text{IV.19})$$

If $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, by Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_n * \varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\rho_n * (\varphi_n - \varphi)\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_n * \varphi - \varphi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\rho_n\|_{L^1} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_n * \varphi - \varphi\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in \Omega$, with Property IV.4, after extraction of a subsequence, $|\rho_n * G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet)| \rightarrow |G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet)|$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ so

$$|G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet) * \rho_n| * \rho_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_{bA,\lambda}(x, \bullet)|$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ and up to another subsequence almost everywhere. Similarly, almost everywhere

$$\rho_n * \rho_n * G_\lambda(x, \bullet) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} G_\lambda(x, \bullet)$$

So passing to the limit in (IV.19), for almost every $y \in \Omega$,

$$|G_{bA,\lambda}(x, y)| \leq G_\lambda(x, 2x - y) = G_\lambda(y - x) = G_\lambda(x, y)$$

✚

IV.4 Lieb-Thirring inequality

We add a constant to the Laplacian to ensure that the constant function has a non-zero energy.

Theorem IV.6: Lieb-Thirring Inequality

Let $\mathcal{V} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$-\text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \leq 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \right] \leq \frac{C_{LT}}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}(x)^2 dx \quad (\text{IV.20})$$

Proof:

We denote N_λ the number of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1$ less than or equal to λ . From [9, Section 4.3],

$$-\text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \leq 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} N_\lambda d\lambda$$

Define the Birman-Schwinger operator

$$K_\lambda := \sqrt{\mathcal{V}} G_{bA,\lambda} \sqrt{\mathcal{V}}$$

and let B_λ be the number of eigenvalues of K_λ greater or equal to 1. We use the diamagnetic inequality to restrict to the non magnetic case. Since $G_{bA,\lambda}$ is positive, we can define its square root. Using the arguments of [9, Theorem 4.4] we can deduce from Proposition IV.5

the diamagnetic inequality for $\sqrt{G_{bA,\lambda}}$:

$$\left| \sqrt{G_{bA,\lambda}}(x, y) \right| \leq \sqrt{G_\lambda}(x, y)$$

Hence with Proposition IV.5,

$$\left| G_{bA,\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}}(x, y) \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} G_{bA,\lambda}(x, z) \sqrt{G_{bA,\lambda}}(z, y) dz \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} G_\lambda(x, z) \sqrt{G_\lambda}(z, y) dz = G_\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(x, y)$$

So taking $m := 3/2$ and using an inequality on the traces of powers (see [9, Theorem 4.5]),

$$\begin{aligned} N_\lambda = B_\lambda &\leq \text{Tr} [K_\lambda^m] \leq \text{Tr} [\mathcal{V}^{\frac{m}{2}} K_\lambda^m \mathcal{V}^{\frac{m}{2}}] \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}(x)^m |G_{A,\lambda+1}^m(x, x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}(x)^m G_{\lambda+1}^m(x, x) dx \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$-\text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b+1-\mathcal{V}}) \leq 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \right] \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_1^{\infty} \mathcal{V}(x)^m G_\lambda^m(x, x) dx d\lambda \quad (\text{IV.21})$$

The kernel of G_λ^m is

$$G_\lambda^m(x) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k \in \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L}\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda)^m} e^{i\frac{k \cdot x}{\hbar}}$$

We use the integral bound for the sum

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda)^m} \leq \lambda^{-m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(u^2 + \lambda)^m} du$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda^m(0) &= \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\left(\frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} \right)^2 (k^2 + q^2) + \lambda \right)^m} = \frac{1}{L^2} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^{2m} \sum_{k,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(k^2 + q^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda \right)^m} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L^2} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^{2m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{\left(k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda \right)^m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(k^2 + u^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda \right)^m} du \right) \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(k^2 + u^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda \right)^m} du = \left(k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda \right)^{-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{u^2}{k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^2 \lambda} + 1 \right)^m} du$$

$$= \frac{I(m)}{\left(k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^2 \lambda\right)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

with

$$m > \frac{1}{2} \implies I(m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+u^2)^m} du < \infty$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda^m(0) &\leq \frac{1}{L^2} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{2m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{\left(k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^2 \lambda\right)^m} + \frac{I(m)}{\left(k^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^2 \lambda\right)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^{-m}}{L^2} + \frac{I(m)}{2\pi\hbar L} \lambda^{-m+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{L^2} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{2m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left(u^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^2 \lambda\right)^m} du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{I(m)}{\left(u^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^2 \lambda\right)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}} du \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^{-m}}{L^2} + \frac{I(m)}{\pi\hbar L} \lambda^{-m+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} I(m) I\left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda^{-m+1} \\ &\leq \frac{C(m)}{\hbar^2} \lambda^{-m+1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\lambda \geq 1$. We need $m > 1$ for the integrals to converge. We use the same trick as [9] changing the potential to

$$\mathcal{W}_\lambda(x) := \max\left(\mathcal{V} - \frac{\lambda}{2}, 0\right)$$

Combining this with (IV.21) and the change of variable

$$\mu := \frac{2V(x)}{\lambda}, d\lambda = -\frac{2V(x)}{\mu^2} d\mu$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \leq 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \right] &\leq \frac{C(m)}{\hbar^2} \int_1^\infty \int_{\Omega} \lambda^{-m+1} \max\left(V(x) - \frac{\lambda}{2}, 0\right)^m d\lambda dx \\ &\leq \frac{C(m)}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{2V(x)} \lambda \left(\frac{2V(x)}{\lambda} - 1\right)^m d\lambda \right) dx \\ &= \frac{C(m)}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{2V(x)}{\mu} (\mu - 1)^m \cdot \frac{2V(x)}{\mu^2} d\mu \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{C(m)}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Omega} V(x)^2 \left(\int_1^{\infty} \frac{(\mu-1)^m}{\mu^3} d\mu \right) dx$$

The integral in μ converges if $3 - m > 1$. To conclude we need $1 < m < 2$ hence the choice $m = 3/2$ is convenient.

This leads to proof of the Fundamental inequality of kinetic energy:

Proof of Theorem IV.1:

With the variational principle and the Lieb-Thirring inequality (IV.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1) \gamma] &= \text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \gamma] + \text{Tr} [\mathcal{V} \gamma] \\ &\geq \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \text{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1}_{(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \leq 0} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1 - \mathcal{V}) \right] + \text{Tr} [\mathcal{V} \gamma] \\ &\geq - \frac{C_{LT} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V} \rho_{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Then choose $\mathcal{V} := C_N \mathbb{1}_{\rho_{\gamma} \leq c}$:

$$\text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1) \gamma] \geq C_N \left(1 - C_N \frac{C_{LT} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}}{\hbar^2} \right) \int_{\rho_{\gamma} \leq c} \rho_{\gamma}^2$$

The constant preceding the integral is maximal when

$$C_N := \frac{\hbar^2}{2C_{LT} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}}$$

and we get

$$\text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1) \gamma] \geq \frac{\hbar^2}{4C_{LT} \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}} \int_{\rho_{\gamma} \leq c} \rho_{\gamma}^2 \quad (\text{IV.22})$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \geq \hbar b$,

$$\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma] \geq \hbar b \text{Tr} [\gamma]$$

so because $\hbar b \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + 1) \gamma] \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\hbar b} \right) \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma] \leq C \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]$$

With this and monotone convergence we take the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (IV.22) and obtain (IV.1). Applying this to (IV.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] \geq C \frac{l_b^2}{\|\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)}\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}} \left\| \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \geq CN l_b^2 \left\| \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C \left\| \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2$$

For the second reduced density, by symmetry

$$\begin{aligned}
N \left(\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[w \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \right] \right) &= \left\langle \psi_N \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}(x_i) - \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} w(x_i - w_j) \right) \psi_N \right. \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \psi_N \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}(x_i) - \frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{j=2}^N w(x_1 - w_j) \right) \psi_N \right. \right\rangle \\
&\geq \left\langle \psi_N \left| \sum_{j=2}^N \left(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}(x_i) - \frac{N}{N-1} w(x_1 - x_j) \right) \psi_N \right. \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \psi_N(x, \bullet) \left| \sum_{j=2}^N \left(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}(x_i) - \frac{N}{N-1} w(x - x_j) \right) \psi_N(x, \bullet) \right. \right\rangle dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left\langle \psi_N(x, \bullet) \left| \sum_{j=2}^N \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}(x_i) \psi_N(x, \bullet) \right. \right\rangle - N \int_{\Omega} w(x-y) \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} dy \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Then using (IV.2) and then Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[w \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} \left(C \hbar b (N-1) \left\| \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - N \int_{\Omega} w(x-y) \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} dy \right) dx \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(C \hbar b \left\| \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} w(x-y) \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} dy \right) dx \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(C \hbar b \left\| \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2C \hbar b} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + 2C \hbar b \left\| \rho_{\psi_N(x, \bullet)}^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right) dx \geq -\frac{C}{\hbar b} \|w\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Changing w to ϵw , dividing by ϵ and using (IV.4) gives

$$\int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] + \frac{C}{\hbar b} \epsilon \|w\|_{L^2}^2$$

To optimise in ϵ , we choose $\epsilon := \frac{\hbar b}{\|w\|_{L^2}}$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] + C \right) \|w\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] \|w\|_{L^2}$$

because $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \geq \hbar b$. Similarly with Young's inequality and (IV.2),

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} V \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] \|V\|_{L^2}$$

V Semi-classical limit

In this section we introduce the Husimi functions representing densities in the phase space. The fundamental properties of these functions can be found in [Property V.2](#). Then we prove that the N -body quantum energy can be approximated by a semi-classical functional depending only on Husimi functions in [Proposition V.4](#).

V.1 Husimi functions

Notation V.1

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^k))$, recalling [Notation III.1](#) and [\(I.21\)](#) we define the associated Husimi functions or lower symbol as

$$m_{\gamma_k}(X_{1:k}) := \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_k \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} \right] \quad \text{with } X_{1:k} \in (\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k$$

Conversely, if $m_k \in L^1((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$, define the associated density matrix

$$\gamma_{m_k} := (2\pi l_b^2)^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_k(X_{1:k}) \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k})$$

We call m_k the upper symbol of γ_{m_k} . We also associate a density to m_k :

$$\rho_{m_k} := \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k} m_k(n_{1:k}; \bullet)$$

we extend the definition [\(I.13\)](#) to Husimi functions, if $k \geq 2$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b}[m_k] := \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m_k^{(1)}(n, R) d\eta(n, R) + \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} V m_k^{(1)} d\eta + \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^2} w m_k^{(2)} d\eta^{\otimes 2} \quad (\text{V.1})$$

and we also extend [\(I.16\)](#) to $\rho_k \in L^1(\Omega^k)$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_k] = \int_{\Omega} V \rho_k^{(1)} + \int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_k^{(2)} \quad (\text{V.2})$$

If one starts from $\psi_N \in L^2_-(\Omega^N)$ we use the notation

$$m_{\psi_N} := m_{\gamma_{\psi_N}}$$

For another discussion and further references about lower and upper symbols one can look at [\[5, Definition 3.13\]](#). The k -body Husimi function is the joint probability distribution for k particles in phase space. Similarly as for [\(I.22\)](#), we have

$$m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} = m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{m_{\gamma_N}}^{(k)} = \rho_{m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}}$$

We have the following properties for the Husimi functions, inherited from density matrices.

Property V.2: *Husimi functions*

Let γ_N be an N -body density matrix, then $m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}$ are symmetric, consistent and satisfy

$$0 \leq m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} \leq \frac{(N-k)!}{(2\pi l_b^2)^k N!} + \mathcal{O}(l_b) \quad (\text{V.3})$$

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} d\eta^{\otimes k} = \|m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}\|_{L^1} = 1 \quad (\text{V.4})$$

$$\rho_{m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}}^{(k)} = (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k} * \rho_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} \quad (\text{V.5})$$

These are the usual properties for Husimi functions slightly modified here due to the approximation in Corollary III.3. The proof (see Section VII) uses the following translation between reduced density matrices and Husimi functions:

Lemma V.3: *Relations between Husimi functions and reduced densities*

Let $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^k))$ be a positive operator, then $m_{\gamma_k} \in L^1((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$ and

$$0 \leq m_{\gamma_k} \leq \frac{\|\gamma_k\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}}{(2\pi l_b^2)^k} (1 + \mathcal{O}(l_b)) \quad \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_{\gamma_k} d\eta^{\otimes k} = \text{Tr}[\gamma_k]$$

Conversely if $m_k \in L^1((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$ is positive, then $\gamma_{m_k} \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^k))$ and

$$0 \leq \gamma_{m_k} \leq (2\pi l_b^2)^k \|m_k\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma_{m_k}] = \|m_k\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}(l_b)$$

Moreover if $\gamma_N \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2_-(\Omega^k))$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$, then

$$m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)} \leq \frac{(N-k)!}{(2\pi l_b^2)^k N!} \text{Tr}[\gamma_N] (1 + \mathcal{O}(l_b))$$

V.2 Semi-classical energy

Proposition V.4: *Semi-classical approximation*

Let $\psi_N \in L^2_-(\Omega^N)$, $\|\psi_N\|_{L^2} = 1$, the quantum energy can be approximated with the semi-classical energy (V.1)

$$\frac{\langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle}{N} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{=} \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_N^{(1)} \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}((\hbar \lambda)^2) \quad (\text{V.6})$$

where

$$f(\lambda) := \max \left(\|g_\lambda^2 * V - V\|_{L^2}, \| (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes 2} * w - w \|_{L^2} \right) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{V.7})$$

The kinetic energy

$$\frac{1}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right]$$

will be bounded when we will take a sequence of minimizers of the N -body quantum energy. Recalling (I.8) and (I.11),

$$bl_b = \mathcal{O}(\hbar N l_b) = \mathcal{O}\left(\hbar N^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \gg 1$$

so with (III.2)

$$(\hbar \lambda)^2 \ll \hbar \lambda \ll \hbar b \lambda l_b \ll 1 \quad (\text{V.8})$$

Moreover, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ so the error terms in (V.6) will be small.

Proof of Proposition V.4:

With (IV.4) in mind, we start by computing the kinetic term. Inserting the resolution of identity (III.3), we have

$$\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] d\eta(n, R)$$

Now, we use $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n = E_n \Pi_n$ by commuting $\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}$ with $g_\lambda(\bullet - R)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] &= \text{Tr} \left[\int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n \Pi_{n, R} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} d\eta(n, R) \right] \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R) dR \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, R) d\eta(n, R) + \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \int_{\Omega} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] g_\lambda(\bullet - R) dR \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.9})$$

We compute

$$[\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] = i\hbar \nabla g_\lambda(\bullet - R)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] &= [\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} + \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \cdot [\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] \\ &= 2i\hbar \nabla g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} - \hbar^2 \Delta g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \cdot 2i\hbar \nabla g_\lambda(\bullet - R) + \hbar^2 \Delta g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.10})$$

inserting this in (V.9), we find

$$\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, R) d\eta(n, R)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2i\hbar \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b} \cdot \int_{\Omega} \nabla g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) dR \right] \\
& + \hbar^2 \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \int_{\Omega} \Delta g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) dR \right]
\end{aligned}$$

But because g has a fixed L^2 norm and is periodic

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \int_{\Omega} g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R)^2 dR = 0 = 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) dR$$

Moreover

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) g_{\lambda}(\bullet - R) dR = - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla g_{\lambda})^2 = -\lambda^4 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla g(\lambda x))^2 dx = -\lambda^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{V.11})$$

Therefore

$$\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, R) d\eta(n, R) - (\hbar\lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{V.12})$$

If we take a k variable potential $V_k \in L^1(\Omega^k)$,

$$\text{Tr} \left[V_k \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right] = \int_{\Omega^k} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(x_{1:k}; x_{1:k}) V_k(x_{1:k}) dx_{1:k} = \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} V_k$$

To express this in terms of Husimi functions we use (V.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \left[V_k \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right] &= \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} V_k + \int_{\Omega^k} \left(\rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} - (g_{\lambda}^2)^{\otimes k} * \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right) V_k \\
&= \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} V_k + \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} (V_k - (g_{\lambda}^2)^{\otimes k} * V_k)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus applying (IV.4) and using (V.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle}{N} &= \text{Tr} \left[(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[w \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \right] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, R) d\eta(n, R) + \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} V + \int_{\Omega^2} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} w - (\hbar\lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] + \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} [V - g_{\lambda}^2 * V] + \int_{\Omega^2} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} [w - (g_{\lambda}^2)^{\otimes 2} * w] - (\hbar\lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Using $V, w \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the fact that w and thus

$$(g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes 2} * w(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega^2} g_\lambda^2(z) g_\lambda^2(t) w(x - y + t - z) dz dt$$

only depends on $x - y$ we can use the kinetic energy inequalities (IV.2) and (IV.3) to control the errors terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle}{N} - \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\omega} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} [V - g_\lambda^2 * V] \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega^2} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} [w - (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes 2} * w] \right| \\ &\quad + (\hbar \lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\hbar b} \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_N^{(1)} \right] f(\lambda) + (\hbar \lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$f(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

VI Mean field limit

In Section V, we went from the quantum N -body energy to the semi-classical energy (V.1) (Proposition V.4). The last step needed to obtain the limit models (I.13) and (I.16) out of (V.1) and (V.2) is to remove correlations. Indeed we see that for $m \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$ and $\rho \in L^1(\Omega)$

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b} [m^{\otimes 2}] = \mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b} [m] \quad \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho^{\otimes 2}] = \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho]$$

For fermionic states there are always some correlations due to anti-symmetry. Therefore the objective of this section is to prove that all other correlations are negligible, that is to say justifying the mean field approximation. The main tools are Lieb's variational principle (Theorem VI.4) for the energy upper bound in Subsection VI.1 and the De Finetti Theorem VI.10 for the lower bound in Subsection VI.2.

VI.1 Energy upper bound

In this part we prove the energy upper bound:

Proposition VI.1: *Upper energy bound*

$$\frac{E_N^0}{N} \leq \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho] + \hbar b \mathcal{O} \left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda b)$$

For this result, we use Hartree-Fock theory, meaning we restrict the energy to Slater determinants. Computations are simplified by Wick's Theorem VI.3. Hartree-Fock theory can be extended to general one body operators (see Notation VI.2), and using Lieb's variational principle (Theorem VI.4) one can show that the theory still provides an approximate upper bound for the N -body quantum energy (Proposition VI.5). Then we conclude by approaching the semi-classical energy with the Hartree-Fock energy (Proposition VI.6).

Notation VI.2: *Hartree Fock theory*

Let $s, t, u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, if one define the exchange operator on $\mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^2))$ as

$$\text{Ex} |s \otimes t\rangle \langle u \otimes v| := |s \otimes t\rangle \langle v \otimes u| \quad (\text{VI.1})$$

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega))$, define

$$\gamma_2 := \frac{N}{N-1} (1 - \text{Ex}) \gamma^{\otimes 2} \quad (\text{VI.2})$$

Define the Hartree-Fock energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma] := \text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma] + \text{Tr} [w \gamma_2] \quad (\text{VI.3})$$

With Wick's theorem definitions (VI.2) and (VI.3) are actual statements for Slater determinants.

Theorem VI.3: Wick's theorem

Let $\psi_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \bigwedge_{j=1}^N \phi_j \in L^2_-(\Omega^N)$ with $(\phi_j)_j$ an orthonormal family, then

$$\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i|$$

$$\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)} = \frac{N}{N-1} (1 - \text{EX}) \left(\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right)^{\otimes 2} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^N |\phi_i \otimes \phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_j - \phi_j \otimes \phi_i|$$

Thus for a Slater determinant γ_{ψ_N}

$$\left(\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right)_2 = \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)}$$

and the Hartree-Fock energy is exactly what we obtain for the quantum N -body energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_{HF} \left[\gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] = \text{Tr} \left[(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[w \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(2)} \right]$$

Lieb's theorem [23] extends the usual variational principle for operators of the form (VI.2).

Theorem VI.4: Lieb's variational principle

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega))$ satisfying

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma] = 1 \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{N}$$

there exists an N -body density matrix γ_N and a positive operator L_2 such that

$$\gamma_N^{(1)} = \gamma \quad \gamma_N^{(2)} = \gamma_2 - L_2$$

We start with Lieb's variational principle to get an energy upper bound in term of the operator γ_2 . An important remark here is that we don't assume that the interaction potential is repulsive to get the upper bound as it is usually done when dealing with Lieb's variational principle. The reason why we were able to relax the assumption $w \geq 0$ is independent of our specific model and comes from the fact that L_2 looks like an exchange term in the mean field limit since (see (VI.8))

$$\text{Tr}[L_2] \leq \frac{1}{N-1}$$

Hence the contributions coming from this term in the computation (VI.6) will be treated as error terms. Lieb's variational principle has also been recently generalised in [1].

Proposition VI.5

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega))$ such that $\text{Tr}[\gamma] = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{N}$, then

$$\frac{E_N^0}{N} \leq \mathcal{E}_{HF}[\gamma] + \frac{\text{Tr}[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}\gamma]}{\hbar b} \mathcal{O}(b_b)$$

Proof:

First we prove a lower bound for the interaction term. Using The Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\|\psi\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C_{GN} \left(\sqrt{\|\psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}} + \|\psi\|_{L^2} \right)$$

along with Hölder's, Young's and Kato's (IV.7) inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi | \mathcal{V} \psi \rangle| &\leq \|\psi\|_{L^4} \|\mathcal{V} \psi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^2} \|\psi\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C_{GN} \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^2} (\|\psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla|\psi|\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C_{GN} \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^2} \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}\psi\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_{GN} \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L^2} \left(\epsilon \|\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

So for $\psi_2 \in L^2(\Omega) \otimes \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b})$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi_2 | w \psi_2 \rangle| &\leq \int_{\Omega^2} |w(x-y)| |\psi_2(x,y)|^2 dx dy \leq \|w\|_{L^2} \int_{\Omega} \|\psi_2(x, \bullet)\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\epsilon \|\mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}\psi_2(x, \bullet)\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \|\psi_2(x, \bullet)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dx \quad (\text{VI.4}) \\ &= C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \left(\epsilon \|1 \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}\psi_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \|\psi_2\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_2 | (C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \epsilon (\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) + w) \psi_2 \rangle &= C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \epsilon \|1 \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\hbar,b}\psi_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \psi_2 | w \psi_2 \rangle \\ &\geq -C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \|\psi_2\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\epsilon C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} (\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) + w \geq -C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \quad (\text{VI.5})$$

Let γ_N and L_2 be the operators in Theorem VI.4. Now we use (IV.4), and (VI.5):

$$\frac{E_N^0}{N} \leq \frac{\text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}_N \gamma_N]}{N} = \text{Tr} \left[(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma_N^{(1)} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[w \gamma_N^{(2)} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} + V) \gamma] + \text{Tr} [w(\gamma_2 - L_2)] = \mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma] - \text{Tr} [wL_2] \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma] + C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \text{Tr} [L_2] + \epsilon \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) L_2] \right) \quad (\text{VI.6})
\end{aligned}$$

To conclude we need to estimate the error terms. If A is an operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ it follows from (VI.1) that

$$\text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes A) \text{Ex}\gamma^{\otimes 2}] = \text{Tr} [A\gamma^2] \quad (\text{VI.7})$$

Indeed, if we decompose γ in an orthonormal family:

$$\gamma =: \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i |u_i\rangle \langle u_i|$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes A) \text{Ex}\gamma^{\otimes 2}] &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{Tr} [\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes A |u_i \otimes u_j\rangle \langle u_j \otimes u_i|] \\
&= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{Tr} [(|u_i\rangle \langle u_j|) \otimes (A |u_j\rangle \langle u_i|)] \\
&= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{Tr} [|u_i\rangle \langle u_j|] \text{Tr} [A |u_j\rangle \langle u_i|] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^2 \text{Tr} [A |u_i\rangle \langle u_i|] \\
&= \text{Tr} [A\gamma^2]
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $A := \text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)}$, we obtain

$$\text{Tr} [\text{Ex}\gamma^{\otimes 2}] = \text{Tr} [\gamma^2]$$

and since γ is positive, with (VI.2) we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} [L_2] &= \text{Tr} [\gamma_2] - \text{Tr} [\gamma_N^{(2)}] = \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\gamma^{\otimes 2} - \text{Ex}\gamma^{\otimes 2}] - 1 = \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\gamma^2] - 1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N-1} \quad (\text{VI.8})
\end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can control the first error term in (VI.6) with

$$0 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon\hbar^2}\right) \text{Tr} [L_2] \leq \frac{C}{N\epsilon\hbar^2} \quad (\text{VI.9})$$

For the second error term, using Theorem VI.4, (VI.2) and (VI.7) for $A := \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) L_2] = \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) (\gamma_2 - \gamma_N^{(2)})] \\
&= \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) (1 - \text{Ex}) \gamma^{\otimes 2}] - \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) \gamma_N^{(2)}] \\
&= \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma] - \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) \text{Ex}\gamma^{\otimes 2}] - \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma] - \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma^2] \leq \frac{1}{N-1} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]$$

When the kinetic energy is minimised $\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]$ is of order $\hbar b$ so we estimate the second error term in (VI.6) with:

$$0 \leq \epsilon \text{Tr} [(\text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}) L_2] \leq C \frac{\epsilon \hbar b}{N} \cdot \frac{\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]}{\hbar b} \quad (\text{VI.10})$$

We optimise in ϵ so the bounds in (VI.9) and (VI.10) are of the same order:

$$\frac{1}{N\epsilon\hbar^2} = \frac{\epsilon\hbar b}{N} \implies \epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar^3 b}} = N^{2\delta - \frac{1}{2}} = o(1) \implies \frac{1}{N\epsilon\hbar^2} = \frac{\epsilon\hbar b}{N} = \frac{1}{l_b N} = \mathcal{O}(l_b) \quad (\text{VI.11})$$

so (VI.6) becomes

$$\frac{E_N^0}{N} \leq \mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma] + \left(1 + \frac{\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]}{\hbar b}\right) \mathcal{O}(l_b) = \mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma] + \frac{\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma]}{\hbar b} \mathcal{O}(l_b)$$

Recalling definitions (I.13) and (V.7), we now go from the Hartree-Fock energy to the semi-classical energy.

Proposition VI.6: *Semi-classical approximation of Hartree-Fock energy*

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$ such that $\forall n > n_0, m(n, \bullet) = 0$ and

$$0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} \quad (\text{VI.12})$$

then

$$\mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma_m] = \mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b} [m] + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b)$$

Proof:

We start by proving that we recover the semi-classical functional from the direct terms. We compute the kinetic term using the commutation relation (V.10) and Corollary III.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m] &= 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(X) \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \Pi_X] d\eta(X) \\ &= 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(X) E_n \text{Tr} [\Pi_X] d\eta(X) \\ &\quad + 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \text{Tr} [[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b}, g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] d\eta(n, R) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m(X) d\eta(X) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \text{Tr} [2i\hbar \nabla g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] d\eta(n, R) \\
& - 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \text{Tr} [\hbar^2 \Delta g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] d\eta(n, R) \quad (\text{VI.13})
\end{aligned}$$

Using (III.6), $\exists \mathcal{E} : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi l_b^2 \Pi_n(x, x) &= 1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(n, x) \\
|\mathcal{E}(n, x)| &\leq C(n)
\end{aligned}$$

With (V.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \text{Tr} [\hbar^2 \Delta g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] d\eta(n, R) \\
& = -\hbar^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \left(\int_{\Omega} \Delta g_\lambda(x - R) (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(n, x)) g_\lambda(x - R) dx \right) d\eta(n, R) \\
& = (\hbar \lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \|m\|_{L^1} - \hbar^2 l_b \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \left(\int_{\Omega} \lambda^3 \Delta g(\lambda x) \mathcal{E}(n, x + R) \lambda g(\lambda x) dx \right) d\eta(n, R) \\
& = (\hbar \lambda)^2 \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 \|m\|_{L^1} + (\hbar \lambda)^2 \mathcal{O}(l_b) = \mathcal{O}((\hbar \lambda)^2) \quad (\text{VI.14})
\end{aligned}$$

And by (III.7), $\exists \tilde{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n(x, x) &= \frac{b}{l_b} C(n) + b \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(n, x) \\
|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(n, x)| &\leq \tilde{C}(n)
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \text{Tr} [2i\hbar \nabla g_\lambda(\bullet - R) \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n g_\lambda(\bullet - R)] d\eta(n, R) \\
& = 4i\pi l_b^2 \hbar \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(n, R) \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla g_\lambda(x - R) \left(C(n) \frac{b}{l_b} + b \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(n, R) \right) g_\lambda(x - R) dx \right) d\eta(n, R) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) \quad (\text{VI.15})
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (VI.14) and (VI.15) in (VI.13), we obtain

$$\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_m] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m(X) d\eta(X) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) + \mathcal{O}((\hbar \lambda)^2) \quad (\text{VI.16})$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $W_k \in L^2(\Omega^k)$, with the Fubini theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr} [W_k \gamma_m^{\otimes k}] &= (2\pi l_b^2)^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \mathrm{Tr} \left[W_k \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} \right] d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \\
&= (2\pi l_b^2)^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \int_{\Omega^k} W_k(x_{1:k}) \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} \right)(x_{1:k}, x_{1:k}) dx_{1:k} d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \\
&= \int_{\Omega^k} W_k(x_{1:k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k 2\pi l_b^2 \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} m(X) \Pi_X(x_i, x_i) d\eta(X) \right) dx_{1:k} \\
&= \int_{\Omega^k} W_k(x_{1:k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} m(n, R) g_\lambda^2(x_i - R) (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(n, x_i)) d\eta(n, R) \right) dx_{1:k} \\
&= \int_{\Omega^k} W_k (\rho_m^{\otimes k} * (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k}) dx \\
&\quad + l_b \int_{\Omega^k} W_k(x_{1:k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega} g_\lambda^2(x_i - R) \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} m(n, R) \mathcal{E}(n, x_i) dR \right) dx_{1:k}
\end{aligned}$$

m has finitely many filled Landau level so with the Pauli principle (VI.12), $\rho_m \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$\mathrm{Tr} [W_k \gamma_m^{\otimes k}] = \int_{\Omega^k} W_k \rho_m^{\otimes k} + \mathcal{O}(\|W_k - W_k * (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k}\|_{L^1}) + \mathcal{O}(l_b) \quad (\text{VI.17})$$

Now we need to control the exchange term. It follows from (VI.1) that

$$\mathrm{Ex} \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}(x, y; z, t) = \gamma_m(x, t) \gamma_m(y, z)$$

so with (VI.4) for $\gamma_m \in L^2(\Omega) \otimes \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b})$ as an integral kernel,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{Tr} [w \mathrm{Ex} \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}]| &= \left| \int_{\Omega^2} w(x-y) |\gamma_m(x, y)|^2 dx dy \right| \\
&\leq C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\epsilon \|\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_m(x, \bullet)\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon \hbar^2}\right) \|\gamma_m(x, \bullet)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dx
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.18})$$

With an integration by part,

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_m(x, \bullet)\|_{L^2}^2 dx = \int_{\Omega^2} \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_m(x, \bullet)(y) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_m(x, \bullet)(y)} dx dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega^2} \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m(x, \bullet)(y) \overline{\gamma_m(x, y)} dx dy = \int_{\Omega^2} \overline{\gamma_m(x, y)} \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \overline{\gamma_m}(\bullet, x)(y) dx dy \\
&= \int_{\Omega^2} \overline{\gamma_m(x, y)} (\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \overline{\gamma_m})(y, x) dx dy = \text{Tr} [\overline{\gamma_m} \mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \overline{\gamma_m}]
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in (VI.18), using the cyclicity of the trace we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Tr} [w \text{Ex} \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}]| &= |\text{Tr} [w \text{Ex} \overline{\gamma_m}^{\otimes 2}]| \leq C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2} \left(\epsilon \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m^2] + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon \hbar^2}\right) \text{Tr} [\gamma_m^2] \right) \\
&\leq \frac{C_{GN} \|w\|_{L^2}}{N} \left(\epsilon \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m] + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon \hbar^2}\right) \text{Tr} [\gamma_m] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

With (VI.16), $\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m] = \mathcal{O}(\hbar b)$ and using Lemma V.3, $\text{Tr} [\gamma_m] = \|m\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}(l_b)$ so the choice of ϵ is the same as in (VI.11) thus

$$\text{Tr} [w \text{Ex} \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}] = \mathcal{O}(l_b)$$

We conclude with (VI.3) and (VI.2) then (VI.16) and (VI.17) applied to V and w

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma_m] &= \text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar,b} \gamma_m] + \text{Tr} [V \gamma_m] + \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [w \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}] + \frac{N}{N-1} \text{Tr} [w \text{Ex} \gamma_m^{\otimes 2}] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} E_n m(X) d\eta(X) + \int_{\Omega} V \rho_m + \frac{N}{N-1} \int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_m^{\otimes 2} + \mathcal{O}(\|V - V * (g_\lambda^2)\|_{L^1}) \\
&\quad + \frac{N}{N-1} \mathcal{O}(\|w - w * (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes 2}\|_{L^1}) + \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) + \mathcal{O}((\hbar \lambda)^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling (V.7), the semi-classical energy expression (I.13), (V.8) and $\hbar b \lambda \gg 1$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma_m] = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar} [m] + f(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b)$$

With the notation of Equation (I.18), we would like to define a one body operator with saturated low Landau levels:

$$\gamma_\rho := \frac{L^2(q+r)}{N} \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{N}} m_\rho(X) \Pi_X d\eta(X)$$

We need to prove that the direct term gives the limit model for qLL and to control the exchange terms. But we cannot apply directly Lieb's principle because with Lemma V.3 we have an error on the trace

$$\text{Tr} [\gamma_\rho] = 1 + o(1) \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma_\rho \leq \frac{1}{N}$$

To cure this we modify m_ρ slightly in the following technical Lemma:

Lemma VI.7: *Corrected Husimi function*

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$ such that $\forall n > n_0, m(n, \bullet) = 0$, $\|m\|_{L^1} = 1 + o(1)$ and

$$0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N}$$

then there exist $\tilde{m} \in L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$, $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > n_1, \tilde{m}(n, \bullet) = 0$,

$$\text{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] = 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_{\tilde{m}} \leq \frac{1}{N}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [\tilde{m}] = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b (1 - \|m\|_{L^1})) \quad (\text{VI.19})$$

The proof of this Lemma (see Section VII) consists in moving some small amount of mass from one Landau level to another. Putting all together we obtain the upper bound.

Proof of Proposition VI.1:

Recalling (I.18), let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_{qLL}$ and define

$$m_{\rho, N} := \frac{d(q+r)}{N} m_{\rho} \quad (\text{VI.20})$$

then

$$0 \leq m_{\rho, N} \leq \frac{d}{L^2 N} = \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m_{\rho, N} d\eta = \frac{d(q+r)}{N} = 1 + o(1)$$

We consider $\tilde{m}_{\rho, N}$ the corrected Husimi function in Lemma VI.7 associated with $m_{\rho, N}$, it satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [\tilde{m}_{\rho, N}] = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\rho, N}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \quad (\text{VI.21})$$

and $\text{Tr} [\gamma_{m_{\rho, N}}] = 1$, $0 \leq \gamma_{m_{\rho, N}} \leq \frac{1}{N}$. Moreover by (VI.16),

$$\text{Tr} [\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{m_{\rho, N}}] = \mathcal{O}(\hbar b)$$

Thus, we can apply Proposition VI.5, Proposition VI.6 and (VI.21):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{E_N^0}{N} \\ & \leq \mathcal{E}_{HF} [\gamma_{m_{\rho, N}}] + \mathcal{O}(l_b) = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [\tilde{m}_{\rho, N}] + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\rho, N}] + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL} \left[\frac{d(q+r)}{N} \rho \right] + \hbar b \mathcal{O} \left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda_b) \\
&= \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho] + \hbar b \mathcal{O} \left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda_b)
\end{aligned}$$

For the last equality we use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathcal{E}_{qLL} \left[\frac{d(q+r)}{N} \rho \right] - \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho] \right| \\
&\leq \left| 1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right| \|V\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right)^2 \right) \|w\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \left(1 - \left(\frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right)^2 \right) \right| \leq C \left| 1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right|$$

VI.2 Energy lower bound

In this part we prove the Energy lower bound:

Proposition VI.8: *Lower bound*

Let $(\psi_N)_N$ be a sequence of minimizers of (I.7),

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] \geq \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}^0 + o(1)$$

Husimi functions are symmetric and consistent measures. The De Finetti Theorem VI.10 states that such measures are reduced to trivial measure of this kind, namely tensorized products of one body measures and their convex combinations. This result plays an important role in the control of correlations for the lower bound. We start by extracting some limit Husimi functions and give their fundamental properties. Similar arguments can be found in [6, Section 2]. With Notation V.1,

Proposition VI.9

Let $(\psi_N)_N$ be a sequence of minimizers of (I.7), up to a subsequence

a) there exists limit Husimi functions $M^{(k)} \in L^\infty((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$ such that

$$m_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{*} M^{(k)} \text{ in the weak star topology on } L^\infty((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k) \quad (\text{VI.22})$$

$$0 \leq M^{(k)} \leq \frac{1}{(L^2(q+r))^k} \quad (\text{VI.23})$$

b) $M^{(1)}(q, \bullet) \in \mathcal{D}_{qLL}$ and

$$M^{(1)}(n, \bullet) = \mathbb{1}_{n < q} \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} + \mathbb{1}_{n=q} M^{(1)}(q, \bullet); \quad (\text{VI.24})$$

c) $M^{(k)}$ are the reduced densities of a symmetric measure M on $(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\|M^{(k)}\|_{L^1} = 1$

d) in the sense of Radon measures

$$\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{*} \rho_{M^{(k)}} \quad (\text{VI.25})$$

e) we have convergence of the potential terms:

$$\mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}] \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathcal{E}_{qLL} [\rho_M] \quad (\text{VI.26})$$

Proof:

a) From inequality (V.3) the Husimi functions are uniformly bounded, with a diagonal extraction we obtain (VI.22) and the bound (V.3) with (I.11) induce (VI.23) in the limit.

b) Now since we took a minimizer of the energy, with the upper bound Proposition VI.1 and the Kinetic energy inequalities (IV.2) and (IV.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_N^0}{N} &= \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] + \int_{\Omega} V \rho_{\psi_N}^{(1)} + \int_{\Omega^2} w \rho_{\psi_N}^{(2)} = \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] \left(1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\hbar b} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\rho}] + \hbar b \mathcal{O} \left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N} \right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda_b) \end{aligned}$$

so by (I.19) we know that

$$\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\hbar, b} \gamma_{\psi_N}^{(1)} \right] = \mathcal{O}(\hbar b) \quad (\text{VI.27})$$

Since the contribution of the potential are bounded, the only thing we have to look at are the kinetic terms. Let m_{ρ} be the Husimi function with saturated low Landau levels defined here (I.18). We denote

$$c_{N, n} := \int_{\Omega} \left(m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \cdot) - m_{\rho}(n, \cdot) \right)$$

By definition of m_{ρ} and Lemma V.3 we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_{N, n} = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m_{\psi_N}^{(1)} - \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m_{\rho} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n < q &\implies c_{N,n} \leq \frac{L^2}{2\pi l_b^2 N} + \mathcal{O}(l_b) - \frac{1}{q+r} = \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\
n > q &\implies c_{N,n} = \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(E_n)_n$ is increasing

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n c_{N,n} &\geq \sum_{n=0}^q E_n c_{N,n} + E_q \sum_{n>q} c_{N,n} = - \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} (E_q - E_n) c_{N,n} \\
&\geq \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.28}$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] - \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_\rho] &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n c_{N,n} + \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} V(m_{\psi_N}^{(1)} - m_\rho) d\eta \\
&\quad + \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^2} w(m_{\psi_N}^{(2)} - m_\rho^{\otimes 2}) d\eta^{\otimes 2}
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.29}$$

From the semi-classical approximation (Proposition V.4), (VI.27) and the upper bound (Proposition VI.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E_N^0}{N} &= \frac{\langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle}{N} = \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}((\hbar \lambda)^2) \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_\rho] + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b)
\end{aligned}$$

so with (V.8),

$$\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_{\psi_N}] - \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b} [m_\rho] \leq \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) + \mathcal{O}(f(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b \lambda l_b) \tag{VI.30}$$

All the potential terms in (VI.29) are of order 1, therefore the sum in (VI.28) is bounded and we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \leq - \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} (E_q - E_n) c_{N,n} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n c_{N,n} \leq C$$

So

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \frac{E_n - E_q}{\hbar b} c_{N,n} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) \tag{VI.31}$$

With a similar inequality as (VI.28) but with E_{q+1} instead of E_q we deduce

$$C \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n c_{N,n} \geq \sum_{n=0}^q E_n c_{N,n} + E_{q+1} \sum_{n>q} c_{N,n} = \sum_{n=0}^q (E_n - E_{q+1}) c_{N,n}$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=0}^q E_n c_{N,n} + E_q \sum_{n>q} c_{N,n} \geq \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \quad (\text{VI.32})$$

and therefore (VI.31) implies

$$c_{N,q} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right)$$

Then

$$\sum_{n>q} \frac{E_n}{\hbar b} c_{N,n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E_n}{\hbar b} c_{N,n} - \sum_{n=0}^q \frac{E_n}{\hbar b} c_{N,n} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) \geq \sum_{n>q} \int_{\Omega} m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet)$$

and

$$c_{N,q} = \int_{\Omega} m_N^{(1)}(q, R) dR - \int_{\Omega} \rho(R) dR = \left\| m_N^{(1)}(q, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} - \frac{r}{q+r} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) \quad (\text{VI.33})$$

From the consistency of $m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}$ in Property V.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n_1, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-1}} m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_1, x_1; X_{2:k}) d\eta^{\otimes(k-1)}(X_{2:k}) \right) dx_1 \\ &= \sum_{n_{2:k} \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.34})$$

Since

$$\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}^{i-1} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket) \times \mathbb{N}^{k-i}$$

by the symmetry of $m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}$, (VI.34) and (VI.31),

$$\sum_{n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} = k \sum_{n_1 > q} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n_1, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) \quad (\text{VI.35})$$

Ω is bounded, thus testing (VI.22) against $\mathbb{1}_{\{n_{1:k}\} \times \Omega} \in L^1\left((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k\right)$,

$$\left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}; \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| M^{(k)}(n_{1:k}; \bullet) \right\|_{L^1}$$

So (VI.33) gives

$$\left\| M^{(1)}(q, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} = \frac{r}{q+r}$$

and with (VI.35), if $n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k$, then $M^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) = 0$ and we see that the norm (V.4) passes to the limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \|M^{(k)}\|_{L^1} &= \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k} \|M^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet)\|_{L^1} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n_{1:k} \in \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} + \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket^k} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, \bullet) \right\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right\|_{L^1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

If $n < 0$, by (VI.31),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet) - \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} \right\|_{L^1} &\leq \left\| m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet) - \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} \right\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} - m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} - m_{\psi_N}^{(1)}(n, \bullet) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\ &= -C_{N,n} + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar b}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } M^{(1)}(n, \bullet) = \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)}.$$

c) Testing (VII.10) against $\varphi_q \in C_c^0((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q)$, we have

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q} \varphi_q m_{\psi_N}^{(q)} d\eta^{\otimes q} = \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi_q(X_{1:q}) m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(X_{1:k}) d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \quad (\text{VI.36})$$

Since $\varphi_q \in L^1((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$, with (VI.22),

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q} \varphi_q m_{\psi_N}^{(q)} d\eta^{\otimes q} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q} \varphi_q M^{(q)} d\eta^{\otimes q} \quad (\text{VI.37})$$

In order to pass to the limit in the right term of (VI.36), for the low Landau levels we use (VI.22) on

$$\mathbb{1}_{(\llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket \times \mathbb{N})^k} \left(\varphi_q \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-q}} \right) \in L^1((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$$

and for the high Landau levels we use (VI.35) and $\varphi_q \in L^\infty((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k)$:

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi_q(X_{1:q}) m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(X_{1:k}) d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) = \int_{\Omega^k} \mathbb{1}_{(\llbracket 0 : q \rrbracket \times \mathbb{N})^k} \left(\varphi_q \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-q}} \right) m_{\psi_N}^{(k)} d\eta^{\otimes k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0:q \rrbracket^k} \int_{\Omega^k} \varphi_q(n_{1:q}, x_{1:q}) m_{\psi_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}, x_{1:k}) dx_{1:k} \\
& \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^k} \mathbb{1}_{(\llbracket 0:q \rrbracket \times \mathbb{N})^k} \left(\varphi_q \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-q}} \right) M^{(k)} d\eta^{\otimes k} \\
& = \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi_q(X_{1:q}) M^{(k)}(X_{1:k}) d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) \quad (\text{VI.38})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus passing to the limit in (VI.36) and inserting (VI.37) and (VI.38) we obtain

$$\forall \varphi_q \in C_c^0((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q), \quad \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q} \varphi_q M^{(q)} d\eta^{\otimes q} = \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi_q(X_{1:q}) M^{(k)}(X_{1:k}) d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k})$$

and this proves that the limit Husimi functions are also consistent. Testing against φ_q , we also obtain that the symmetry of Husimi functions passes to the limit. Then we can conclude with the Kolmogorov extension theorem that there exists M a symmetric measure on $(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose marginals are $(M^{(k)})_k$.

d) Let $\varphi_k \in C^0(\Omega^k)$, φ_k is bounded and

$$\mathbb{1}_{\llbracket 0:q \rrbracket^k} \otimes \varphi_k \in L^1\left((\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k\right)$$

so using (VI.22) and (VI.35)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega^k} \varphi_k \rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} &= \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\llbracket 0:q \rrbracket^k} \otimes \varphi_k \right) m_{\psi_N}^{(k)} d\eta^{\otimes k} + \sum_{n_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \llbracket 0:q \rrbracket^k} \int_{\Omega^k} \varphi_k m_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \\
&\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\llbracket 0:q \rrbracket^k} \otimes \varphi_k \right) M^{(k)} d\eta^{\otimes k} = \int_{\Omega^k} \varphi_k \rho_M^{(k)}
\end{aligned}$$

e) Let $V_k \in L^2(\Omega^k)$, and $(V_{k,n})_n \subset C^\infty(\Omega^k)$ a sequence regularised with a convolution to a regular function so that

$$\|V_k - V_{k,n}\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega^k} V_k \left(\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} - \rho_M^{(k)} \right) = \int_{\Omega^k} V_{k,n} \left(\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} - \rho_M^{(k)} \right) + \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} (V_k - V_{k,n}) + \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_M^{(k)} (V_{k,n} - V_k)$$

For a fixed n , since $V_{k,n} \in C^0(\Omega^k)$ by (VI.25) the first term goes to 0 when $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the second term we use (IV.2) if $V_1 = V$, (IV.3) if $V_2 = w$ and (V.5)

$$\left| \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} (V_k - V_{k,n}) \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega^2} \left((g_\lambda^k)^{\otimes k} * \rho_N^{(k)} \right) (V_k - V_{k,n}) \right| \leq C \left\| (V_k - V_{k,n}) * (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k} \right\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq C \|(V_k - V_{k,n})\|_{L^2}$$

For the third term we use Hölder's inequality since $\rho_M^{(k)} \in L^\infty(\Omega^k)$ so we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega^k} V_k \left(\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} - \rho_M^{(k)} \right) \right| \leq C \|V_k - V_{n,k}\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Now we want to apply the De Finetti theorem to M :

Theorem VI.10: *De Finetti or Hewitt-Savage*

Let X be a metric space, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_s(X^{\mathbb{N}})$ be a symmetric probability measure with marginals $(\mu^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$.
 $\exists P_\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ such that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \mu^{(n)} = \int_{\mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \rho^{\otimes n} dP_\mu(\rho) \quad (\text{VI.39})$$

For a proof of this via the the Diaconis-Freedman theorem see [7, Section 2.1.] and for some further context one can look at [5, Section 2.2.].

Recalling the definition of the semi-classical domain (I.15), we obtain:

Proposition VI.11: *Low Landau level filling of the limit factorised densities*

There exists $\mathcal{P}_M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{sc})$ such that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, M^{(k)} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{sc}} m^{\otimes k} d\mathcal{P}_M(m) \quad (\text{VI.40})$$

Let μ be the push-forward measure of \mathcal{P}_M by the application

$$\begin{aligned} L^1(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega) &\rightarrow L^1(\Omega) \\ m &\mapsto m(q, \bullet) \end{aligned}$$

then $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{qLL})$ and

$$\rho_M^{(k)} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right)^{\otimes k} d\mu(\rho) \quad (\text{VI.41})$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_M] = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL} \left[\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right] d\mu(\rho) = E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho] d\mu(\rho) \quad (\text{VI.42})$$

Proof:

From Theorem VI.10 applied to M in Proposition VI.9, $\exists \mathcal{P}_M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega))$ such that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, M^{(k)} = \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} m^{\otimes k} d\mathcal{P}_M(m) \quad (\text{VI.43})$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c^0(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\varphi \neq 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and

$$A_\epsilon(\varphi) := \left\{ m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega) \mid \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi dm \geq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{L^2(q + r)} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi \right\}$$

If $m \in A_\epsilon(\varphi)$, then

$$1 \leq \frac{L^2(q + r)}{(1 + \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\eta)}} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi dm \leq \left(\frac{L^2(q + r)}{(1 + \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\eta)}} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi dm \right)^k$$

so with (VI.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_M(A_\epsilon(\varphi)) &= \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \mathbb{1}_{A_\epsilon(\varphi)} d\mathcal{P}_M \leq \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \left(\frac{L^2(q + r)}{(1 + \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\eta)}} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi dm \right)^k d\mathcal{P}_M(m) \\ &= \left(\frac{L^2(q + r)}{(1 + \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\eta)}} \right)^k \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi^{\otimes k} dm^{\otimes k} \right) d\mathcal{P}_M(m) \\ &= \left(\frac{L^2(q + r)}{(1 + \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\eta)}} \right)^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \varphi^{\otimes k} dM^{(k)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} \right)^k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

we proved that $\mathcal{P}_M(A_\epsilon(\varphi)) = 0$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{P}_M \left(\bigcap_{\substack{\varphi \in C_c^0(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+) \\ \epsilon > 0}} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega) \setminus A_\epsilon(\varphi) \right) = 1 - \mathcal{P}_M \left(\bigcup_{\substack{\varphi \in C_c^0(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+) \\ \epsilon > 0}} A_\epsilon(\varphi) \right) = 1$$

therefore for \mathcal{P}_m almost every $m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$,

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^0(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+), \epsilon > 0, \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi dm < \frac{1 + \epsilon}{L^2(q + r)} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \varphi \quad (\text{VI.44})$$

So for \mathcal{P}_m almost every $m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$, m is the density of a probability measure thus a positive function such that $\|m\|_{L^1} = 1$ and by (VI.44), $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$ and

$$m \leq \frac{1}{L^2(q + r)} \quad (\text{VI.45})$$

We have shown $\mathcal{P}_M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{sc})$, therefore (VI.43) implies (VI.40).
 Moreover if $n < q$ by (VI.24),

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{n\} \times \Omega} dM^{(1)} = \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} m(n, x) dx \right) d\mathcal{P}_M(m)$$

so

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} - m(n, x) \right) dx \right) d\mathcal{P}_M(m) = 0$$

By (VI.45) the integrand is positive thus null \mathcal{P}_M almost everywhere, we conclude that for \mathcal{P}_M almost every m

$$n < q \implies m(n, \bullet) = \frac{1}{L^2(q+r)} \quad (\text{VI.46})$$

If $n > q$ by (VI.24),

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{n\} \times \Omega} dM^{(1)} = \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} m(n, x) dx \right) d\mathcal{P}_M(m)$$

Once again by (VI.45) the right integrand is positive and thus null so for \mathcal{P}_M almost every m

$$n > q \implies m(n, \bullet) = 0 \quad (\text{VI.47})$$

Finally if $n = q$, since $m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)$ we conclude using (VI.47) and (VI.46): for \mathcal{P}_M almost everywhere m

$$\int_{\Omega} m(q, \bullet) = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m - \sum_{n < q} \int_{\Omega} m(n, \bullet) - \sum_{n > q} \int_{\Omega} m(n, \bullet) = 1 - \frac{q}{q+r} = \frac{r}{q+r} \quad (\text{VI.48})$$

Gathering (VI.45), (VI.46), (VI.47) and (VI.48), we now know that for \mathcal{P}_M almost every m we have $m(q, \bullet) \in \mathcal{D}_{qLL}$. This means that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{qLL})$.

Finally we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M^{(k)} &= \sum_{n_{1:k}} M^{(k)}(n_{1:k}; \bullet) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{sc}} \sum_{n_{1:k}} m^{\otimes k}(n_{1:k}; \bullet) d\mathcal{P}_M(m) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{sc}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m(n; \bullet) \right)^{\otimes k} d\mathcal{P}_M(m) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_{sc}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + m(q; \bullet) \right)^{\otimes k} d\mathcal{P}_M(m) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right)^{\otimes k} d\mu(\rho) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right)^{\otimes k} d\mu(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_M] &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL} \left[\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right] d\mu(\rho) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} (E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho]) d\mu(\rho) \\ &= E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho] d\mu(\rho)\end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready for the proof of the lower bound.

Proof of Proposition VI.8:

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_{qLL}$, starting from (VI.29), using inequality (VI.32) and (I.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b}[m_{\psi_N}] &\geq \mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b}[m_\rho] + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}] - \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_{m_\rho}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\ &= \hbar b E_{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We conclude with (VI.26) and (VI.42) and that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b}[m_{\psi_N}] &\geq \hbar b E_{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar b l_b) + \hbar b \mathcal{O}\left(1 - \frac{d(q+r)}{N}\right) \\ &= \hbar b E_{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho_M] + o(1) = \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho] d\mu(\rho) + o(1) \\ &\geq \hbar b E^{q,r} + E_V^{q,r} + E_w^{q,r} + \mathcal{E}_{qLL}^0 + o(1)\end{aligned}\tag{VI.49}$$

VI.3 Conclusion

Proof of Theorem I.5:

Let $(\psi_N)_N$ be a sequence of minimizers of (I.7), by (V.6)

$$\frac{E(N)}{N} = \frac{\langle \psi_N | \mathcal{H}_N \psi_N \rangle}{N} = \mathcal{E}_{sc,\hbar b}[m_{\psi_N}] + o(1)$$

Since the lower bound is true up to a subsequence for which we have Proposition VI.9, for every adherence value of $E(N)/N$ we conclude by gathering Proposition VI.1 and Proposition VI.8.

Proof of Theorem I.7:

With (VI.41) and (VI.25) we get

$$\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\xrightarrow{*}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \left(\frac{q}{L^2(q+r)} + \rho \right)^{\otimes k} d\mu(\rho)$$

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega^k)$ with (V.5),

$$\int_{\Omega^k} \varphi \left(\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)} - \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right) = \int_{\Omega^k} \varphi \left((g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k} * \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} - \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right) = \int_{\Omega^k} \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \left((g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k} * \varphi - \varphi \right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{VI.50})$$

by Hölder's inequality since

$$\left\| \rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)} \right\|_{L^1} = 1$$

and φ is Lipschitz. Up to a subsequence $\rho_{\psi_N}^{(k)}$ converges $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ in the sense of Radon measures. But with (VI.50) this limit coincides with the one of $\rho_{m_{\psi_N}}^{(k)}$ so we obtain (I.23). Moreover by (VI.49) and Proposition VI.1

$$\mathcal{E}_{qLL}^0 \geq \int_{\mathcal{D}_{qLL}} \mathcal{E}_{qLL}[\rho] d\mu(\rho) + o(1)$$

thus μ only gives mass to minimizers of \mathcal{E}_{qLL} .

VII Appendix: technical proofs

Proof of Proposition II.7:

We start from (II.15) expressed in terms of h_n :

$$\psi_{nl}(z) = \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y + kL + l \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi k d \frac{x}{L}}$$

Define

$$g(u) := h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y + uL + l \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi d u \frac{x}{L}}$$

so we have

$$\psi_{nl}(z) = \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g(k) \quad (\text{VII.1})$$

in order to apply the Poisson summation formula to g . To do so, we compute \hat{g} with a change of variable and equations (I.9) and (II.19):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(\nu) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[y + uL + l \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{-iu(\nu - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} du \\ &= \frac{l_b}{L\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i(\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d})(\nu - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(u) e^{-i\frac{ul_b}{L}(\nu - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} du \\ &= \frac{l_b}{L\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i(\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d})(\nu - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} \widehat{h}_n \left(\frac{l_b}{L} \nu - \frac{x}{l_b} \right) = \frac{(-i)^n l_b}{L} e^{i(\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d})(\nu - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} h_n \left(\frac{l_b}{L} \nu - \frac{x}{l_b} \right) \end{aligned}$$

so by using (I.9) again:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(2\pi k) &= \frac{(-i)^n l_b}{L} e^{i(\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d})(2\pi k - 2\pi d \frac{x}{L})} h_n \left(2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} - \frac{x}{l_b} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-i)^n l_b}{L} e^{-i\frac{xy}{l_b^2} - 2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} e^{2i\pi k (\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d})} h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[k \frac{L}{d} - x \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the computation we insert this after applying the Poisson summation formula (II.18) to (VII.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{nl}(z) &= \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} e^{2i\pi l \frac{x}{L}} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(2\pi k) \\ &= \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{Ll_b}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} (-i)^n l_b}{L} e^{-i\frac{xy}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[k \frac{L}{d} - x \right] \right) e^{2i\pi k (\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d}) - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} (k \frac{L}{d} - x)^2} \\ &= \tilde{c}_n \frac{\sqrt{l_b}}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-i\frac{xy}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{-2i\pi k (\frac{y}{L} + \frac{l}{d}) - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} (x + k \frac{L}{d})^2} \end{aligned}$$

by changing the sum index k to $-k$, using the parity of Hermite polynomials and the relation

$$c_n \sqrt{2\pi} (-i)^n = \tilde{c}_n$$

Lemma VII.1

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, c > 0$, the following series are uniformly bounded in α, a, b :

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+, a, b \in [-1, 1], \alpha \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^*} |a + b + \alpha q|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha q)^2} \leq C(c, m) \quad (\text{VII.2})$$

$$\forall \alpha \in [0, 1], a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in [-1, 1], \alpha \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a + b + \alpha k|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha k)^2} \leq C(c, m) \quad (\text{VII.3})$$

Moreover, if P_n, Q_n are complex polynomials of degree n , the function

$$\Xi(z) := \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} P_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) Q_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + qL + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi q d \frac{y}{L} - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(x + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2l_b^2} \left(x + qL + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2}$$

is of order $\frac{1}{l_b}$ and can be uniformly approximated as

$$\left\| \Xi(z) - \frac{L}{2\pi l_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(u) Q_n(u) e^{-u^2} du \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(n) \quad (\text{VII.4})$$

Proof:

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+, a, b \in [-1, 1]$. If $q \geq 2$ then $q \leq 2(q-1)$ so

$$\forall u \in [q-1, q], |a + b + \alpha q|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha q)^2} \leq (2 + 2\alpha u)^m e^{-c(a+\alpha u)^2}$$

and

$$\alpha \sum_{q \geq 2} |a + b + \alpha q|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha q)^2} \leq \int_1^\infty (2 + 2\alpha u)^m e^{-c(a+\alpha u)^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2 + 2u)^m e^{-c(a+u)^2} du \leq C(c, m)$$

the term for $q = 1$ is

$$\alpha |a + b + \alpha|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha)^2} \leq C$$

for the negative q , we see that

$$\alpha \sum_{q \leq -1} |a + b + \alpha q|^m e^{-c(a+\alpha q)^2} = \alpha \sum_{q \geq 1} |-a - b + \alpha q|^m e^{-c(-a+\alpha q)^2} \leq C(c, m)$$

because $-a, -b \in [-1, 1]$.

For (VII.3), let $\alpha \in [0, 1], a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in [-1, 1]$. We see that the series is α -periodic in a so we can assume $0 \leq a \leq 1$ and use (VII.2) and for $k = 0$:

$$\alpha |a + b|^m e^{-ca^2} \leq 2^m$$

Now we use this result to prove the approximation of Ξ . Due to the Gaussian factor, all terms for which $q \neq 0$ have a fair chance to vanish when $l_b \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we focus first on the

term indexed by $q = 0$. To simplify notation we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} u_{b,x} &:= \frac{1}{l_b} \left(x + u \frac{L}{d} \right) = \frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi u \frac{l_b}{L} \\ \xi(u) &:= P_n(u_{b,x}) Q_n(u_{b,x}) e^{-u_{b,x}^2} \\ \Xi_{|q \neq 0}(z) &:= \Xi(z) - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi(k) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.5})$$

so

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) Q_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{-\frac{1}{l_b^2} \left(x + k \frac{L}{d} \right)^2}$$

is the term for $q = 0$ and $\Xi_{|q \neq 0}(z)$ contains the other terms.

Note that Ξ is L/d -periodic in x so we can choose $x \in [0, L/d]$ and

$$\frac{x}{l_b} \leq 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{VII.6})$$

For $q = 0$, if we replace the sum in k by the associated integral we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(u) du = \frac{L}{2\pi l_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(u) Q_n(u) e^{-u^2} du$$

which is the approximation in (VII.4). For the convergence of the Riemann sum, we compute the derivative of the integrand. There exists R_n a polynomial of degree $2n + 1$ such that

$$\xi'(u) = 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} R_n(u_{b,x}) e^{-u_{b,x}^2}$$

Now, use the mean value theorem:

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi(k) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(u) du \right| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_k^{k+1} |\xi(k) - \xi(u)| du \leq 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{k \leq u \leq k+1} |R_n(u_{b,x})| e^{-u_{b,x}^2} \quad (\text{VII.7})$$

To control this we only need to control monomials. If $k \leq u \leq k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_{b,x}|^m e^{-u_{b,x}^2} \\ &\leq |k_{b,x}|^m e^{-k_{b,x}^2} + \left| (k+1)_{b,x} \right|^m e^{-(k+1)_{b,x}^2} + |k_{b,x}|^m e^{-(k+1)_{b,x}^2} + \left| (k+1)_{b,x} \right|^m e^{-k_{b,x}^2} \\ &= |k_{b,x}|^m e^{-k_{b,x}^2} + \left| (k+1)_{b,x} \right|^m e^{-(k+1)_{b,x}^2} + \left| (k+1)_{b,x-\frac{L}{d}} \right|^m e^{-(k+1)_{b,x}^2} + \left| k_{b,x+\frac{L}{d}} \right|^m e^{-k_{b,x}^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus after some change of indices,

$$2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{k \leq u \leq k+1} |u_{b,x}|^m e^{-u_{b,x}^2} \leq 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2 |k_{b,x}|^m + \left| k_{b,x-\frac{L}{d}} \right|^m + \left| k_{b,x+\frac{L}{d}} \right|^m \right) e^{-k_{b,x}^2}$$

Using (VII.3) with $\alpha = 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \rightarrow 0$, $a = \frac{x}{l_b}$, $b \in \left\{0, 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L}, -2\pi \frac{l_b}{L}\right\}$, $c = 1$:

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi(k) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(u) du \right| \leq C(n)$$

We next control $\Xi_{|q \neq 0}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, with Young's inequality:

$$- \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right) \cdot q \frac{L}{l_b} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left(q \frac{L}{l_b} \right)^2$$

so

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} + q \frac{L}{l_b} \right)^2} \leq e^{-(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \right) \left(q \frac{L}{l_b} \right)^2}$$

We take $\epsilon = 3/2$. As in (VII.7), we need to deal with monomial terms of the form

$$\sum_{q \neq 0, k} \left| \frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right|^m \left| q \frac{L}{l_b} \right|^{\tilde{m}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi k \frac{l_b}{L} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \left(q \frac{L}{l_b} \right)^2}$$

by using

- (VII.2) for the sum in q with $\alpha = \frac{L}{l_b}$, $a = 0$, $b = 0$, $c = \frac{1}{6}$
- (VII.3) for the sum in k with $\alpha = 2\pi \frac{l_b}{L} \rightarrow 0$, $a = \frac{x}{l_b} \rightarrow 0$, $b = 0$, $c = \frac{1}{4}$

We conclude that

$$|\Xi_{|q \neq 0}| \leq C(n) \frac{L}{l_b} \cdot \frac{l_b}{L} = C(n)$$

Proof of Proposition III.2:

We start from (III.5):

$$\Pi_n(z, z) = \frac{1}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L l_b} \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) h_n \left(\frac{1}{l_b} \left[x + qL + k \frac{L}{d} \right] \right) e^{2i\pi q d \frac{y}{L}}$$

We apply Lemma VII.1 and thus compute

$$\frac{1}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L l_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n \left(\frac{x}{l_b} + 2\pi u \frac{l_b}{L} \right)^2 du = \frac{1}{L l_b} \cdot \frac{L}{2\pi l_b} = \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2}$$

and obtain (III.6). Starting again from (III.5) and using notation (VII.5), we compute in Landau gauge

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n)(x, y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{pmatrix} i\hbar\partial_{x_1} - bx_2 \\ i\hbar\partial_{x_2} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L l_b} e^{i\frac{y_1 y_2 - x_1 x_2}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n(k_{b, x_1}) h_n(k_{b, y_1 + qL}) \cdot e^{2i\pi k \frac{y_2 - x_2}{L} + 2i\pi dq \frac{y_2}{L}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L l_b} e^{i\frac{y_1 y_2 - x_1 x_2}{l_b^2}} \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\hbar}{l_b} \begin{pmatrix} ih'_n(k_{b, x_1}) \\ k_{b, x_1} h_n(k_{b, x_1}) \end{pmatrix} h_n(k_{b, y_1 + qL}) \cdot e^{2i\pi k \frac{y_2 - x_2}{L} + 2i\pi dq \frac{y_2}{L}}
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n)(z, z) = \frac{b}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L} \sum_{k, q \in \mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} ih'_n(k_{b, x}) \\ k_{b, x} h_n(k_{b, x}) \end{pmatrix} h_n(k_{b, x + qL}) e^{2i\pi dq \frac{y}{L}}$$

and with Lemma VII.1,

$$\left\| (\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, b} \Pi_n)(z, z) - \frac{L}{2\pi l_b} \cdot \frac{b}{\|h_n\|_{L^2}^2 L} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{pmatrix} ih'_n(u) \\ u h_n(u) \end{pmatrix} h_n(u) e^{-u^2} du \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(n)b$$

Proof of Lemma V.3:

m_{γ_k} is positive because $\forall X \in \mathbb{N} \times \Omega$, Π_X and γ_k are positive. With Corollary III.3,

$$m_{\gamma_k}(X_{1:k}) \leq \|\gamma_k\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Tr} [\Pi_{X_i}] = \|\gamma_k\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{l_b}\right) \right)^k = \frac{\|\gamma_k\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}}{(2\pi l_b^2)^k} (1 + \mathcal{O}(l_b))$$

Then, with the resolution of identity (III.3) we have

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_{\gamma_k} d\eta^{\otimes k} = \text{Tr} [\gamma_k]$$

Since $\forall X \in \mathbb{N} \times \Omega$, Π_X and m_k are positive γ_{m_k} is also positive. (III.3) also implies

$$\gamma_{m_k} \leq (2\pi l_b^2)^k \|m_k\|_{L^\infty} \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} \right) d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) = (2\pi l_b^2)^k \|m_k\|_{L^\infty}$$

Finally, using Corollary III.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} [\gamma_{m_k}] &= (2\pi l_b^2)^k \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_k(X_{1:k}) \text{Tr} \left[\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} \right] d\eta^{\otimes k}(X_{1:k}) = \int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^k} m_k d\eta^{\otimes k} + \mathcal{O}(l_b) \\
&= \|m_k\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}(l_b)
\end{aligned}$$

$\Pi_X \in \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega))$ is positive, thus it can be diagonalized:

$$\Pi_X = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i, X} |\psi_{i, X}\rangle \langle \psi_{i, X}| \text{ with } \lambda_{i, X} \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i, X} = \text{Tr} [\Pi_X]$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\gamma_N^{(k)}}(X_{1:k}) &= \sum_{i_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j, X_j} \right) \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N^{(k)} \left| \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \psi_{i_j, X_j} \right\rangle \left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \psi_{i_j, X_j} \right| \right] \\
&= \sum_{i_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j, X_j} \right) \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N \left| \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \psi_{i_j, X_j} \right\rangle \left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \psi_{i_j, X_j} \right| \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega^{N-k})} \right] \quad (\text{VII.8})
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi_{1:N} \in L^2(\Omega)$ be an orthonormal family, we claim that

$$\left| \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \right\rangle \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \right| \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega^{N-k})} \leq \frac{(N-k)!}{N!} \text{ on } \mathcal{L}^1(L^2(\Omega^N)) \quad (\text{VII.9})$$

Indeed, if we consider the Slater determinant

$$\chi_N := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma) \bigotimes_{j=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(j)}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \chi_N \left| \left(\left| \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \right\rangle \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \right| \otimes \text{Id}_{L^2(\Omega^{N-k})} \right) \chi_N \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \left| \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_{\tau(i)} \right\rangle \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(i)} \left| \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=k+1}^N \psi_{\tau(i)} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \delta_{\sigma(i), i} \delta_{\tau(i), i} \right) \prod_{i=k+1}^N \delta_{\sigma(i), \tau(i)} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^k \delta_{\sigma(i), i} = \frac{(N-k)!}{N!}
\end{aligned}$$

If the Slater determinant does not contain the $\psi_{1:k}$ then the result of this computation is 0, thus we obtain (VII.9). Then with (VII.8) and Corollary III.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\gamma_N^{(k)}}(X_{1:k}) &\leq \frac{(N-k)!}{N!} \sum_{i_{1:k} \in \mathbb{N}^k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j, X_j} \right) \text{Tr} [\gamma_N] = \frac{(N-k)!}{N!} \text{Tr} [\gamma_N] \prod_{j=1}^k \text{Tr} [\Pi_{X_j}] \\
&= \frac{(N-k)!}{(2\pi l_b^2)^k N!} \text{Tr} [\gamma_N] (1 + \mathcal{O}(l_b))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Property V.2:

Let $k > q \geq 1$ and $X_{1:q} \in (\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^q$. Recalling the results of Subsection IV.1, we prove that the N -body Husimi functions are consistent marginals using (III.3):

$$\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-q}} m_{\gamma_N^{(k)}}(X_{1:k}) d\eta(X_{q+1:k}) = \text{Tr} \left[\int_{(\mathbb{N} \times \Omega)^{k-q}} \gamma_N^{(k)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{X_i} d\eta(X_{q+1:k}) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N^{(k)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^q \Pi_{X_i} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega}^{\otimes(k-q)} \right] = \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N^{(q)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^q \Pi_{X_i} \right] \\
&= m_{\gamma_N}^{(q)}(X_{1:q}) \tag{VII.10}
\end{aligned}$$

The symmetry of the Husimi measures follows from the symmetry of the reduced density matrices and (V.3) and (V.4) follow from

$$\text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N^{(k)} \right] = 1$$

and Lemma V.3.

For the last point we perform a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n_{1:k} \geq 0} m_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}(n_{1:k}; R_{1:k}) &= \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_N^{(k)} \sum_{n_{1:k} \geq 0} \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Pi_{n_i, R_i} \right] = \int_{\Omega^k} \gamma_N^{(k)}(x_{1:k}, x_{1:k}) \prod_{i=1}^k g_\lambda(x_i - R_i)^2 dx_{1:k} \\
&= (g_\lambda^2)^{\otimes k} * \rho_{\gamma_N}^{(k)}(R_{1:k})
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma VI.7:

First, by Corollary III.3, $\exists \mathcal{E} : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi l_b^2 \text{Tr} [\Pi_X] &= 1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(X) \\
|\mathcal{E}(n, R)| &\leq C(n)
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Tr} [\gamma_m] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m(X) (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(X)) d\eta(X)$$

If $\text{Tr} [\gamma_m] = 1$ then m has the desired properties. If $\text{Tr} [\gamma_m] < 1$ we add some mass to m where it is possible without breaking the Pauli principle. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N}$$

we define

$$\tilde{m}(\tau, n_1) := m + \min \left(\tau, \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} - m \right) \mathbb{1}_{n \leq n_1}$$

By construction

$$0 \leq m \leq \tilde{m}(\tau, n_1) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} \text{ and } \tau \mathbb{1}_{n \leq n_1} \leq \tilde{m}(\tau, n_1) \tag{VII.11}$$

We choose $n_1 > n_0$ and remark that

$$\text{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] \left(\frac{1}{2\pi l_B^2 N}, n_1 \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi l_B^2 N} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \mathbb{1}_{n \leq n_1} (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(X)) d\eta(X) \geq \frac{L^2}{2\pi l_b^2 N} n_1 - l_b C(n_1)$$

Since $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{L^2}{2\pi l_b^2 N} n_1 > 1$$

for large enough N ,

$$\mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] \left(\frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N}, n_1 \right) > 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] (0, n_1) = \mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_m] < 1$$

and $\mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}]$ is Lipschitz in τ , so by the intermediate value theorem $\exists \tau \geq 0$ such that

$$\text{with } \tilde{m} := \tilde{m}(\tau, n_1), \mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \tilde{m}(X) (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(X)) d\eta(X) = 1$$

Thus we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq n_1} \int_{\Omega} \min \left(\tau, \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} - m(n, x) \right) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} (\tilde{m} - m) d\eta = 1 - l_b \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \tilde{m} \mathcal{E} d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} m d\eta \\ &= \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\tau = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_{\Omega} \min \left(\tau, \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} - m(n_1, x) \right) dx \leq \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) \quad (\text{VII.12})$$

Now if $\mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_m] > 1$ we remove some mass to m :

$$\tilde{m}(\tau) := \max(0, m - \tau) = m - \min(m, \tau)$$

by construction

$$0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} \quad (\text{VII.13})$$

We see that

$$\mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] (0) = \mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_m] > 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] \left(\frac{1}{2\pi l_b^2 N} \right) = 0$$

so $\exists \tau \geq 0$ such that

$$\text{with } \tilde{m} := \tilde{m}(\tau), \mathrm{Tr} [\gamma_{\tilde{m}}] = \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \tilde{m}(X) (1 + l_b \mathcal{E}(X)) d\eta(X) = 1$$

and like before,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \min(m, \tau) d\eta &= \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} (m - \tilde{m}) d\eta = \|m\|_{L^1} - 1 + l_b \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \tilde{m} \mathcal{E} d\eta = \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) \\ &= \int_{m < \tau} m d\eta + \int_{\tau \leq m} \tau d\eta = \|m\|_{L^1} + \int_{\tau \leq m} (\tau - m) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|m\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) = \int_{\tau \leq m} (m - \tau) d\eta \leq \frac{1}{\pi l_b^2 N} |\mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq m}|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq m}|} \int_{\mathbb{N} \times \Omega} \min(m, \tau) d\eta = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq m}|} (\mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1})) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi l_b^2 N} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1})}{\|m\|_{L^1} + \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1})} = \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.14})$$

With inequalities (VII.12) and (VII.14) we know that

$$\|m - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(l_b) + \mathcal{O}(1 - \|m\|_{L^1}) \quad (\text{VII.15})$$

Finally we prove the estimate on semi-classical energies (VI.19):

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[\tilde{m}] - \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[m]| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} E_n \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{m}(n, \bullet) - m(n, \bullet)| + \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} \int_{\Omega} |V| |\tilde{m}(n, \bullet) - m(n, \bullet)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n, \tilde{n}=0}^{n_1} \int_{\Omega^2} |w(x-y)| |\tilde{m}(n, x) \tilde{m}(\tilde{n}, y) - m(n, x) m(\tilde{n}, y)| dx dy \\ &\leq L^2 \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} E_n \|m - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} + (n_1 + 1) \|V\|_{L^1} \|m - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + L^2 \|w\|_{L^1} \sum_{n, \tilde{n}=0}^{n_1} \|\tilde{m}(n, \bullet) \tilde{m}(\tilde{n}, \bullet) - m(n, \bullet) m(\tilde{n}, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{m}(n, \bullet) \tilde{m}(\tilde{n}, \bullet) - m(n, \bullet) m(\tilde{n}, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\tilde{m}(n, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{m}(\tilde{n}, \bullet) - m(\tilde{n}, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \|m(\tilde{n}, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{m}(n, \bullet) - m(n, \bullet)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{m} - m\|_{L^\infty} + \|m\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{m} - m\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

so with (VII.11) and (VII.13)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[\tilde{m}] - \mathcal{E}_{sc, \hbar b}[m]| &\leq \left(L^2 \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} E_n + (n_1 + 1) \|V\|_{L^1} + \frac{L^2}{\pi l_b^2 N} \|w\|_{L^1} (n_1 + 1)^2 \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \|m - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude with (VII.15).

Acknowledgements



I would like to thank my PHD advisor Nicolas Rougerie for discussions, ideas and help that made this work possible. Funding from the European Research Council (ERC) for the project Correlated frontiers of many-body quantum mathematics and condensed matter physics (CORFRONMAT No 758620) is gratefully acknowledged.

Bibliography



- [1] V.Bach. “Hartree–Fock Theory, Lieb’s Variational Principle and their Generalizations”. In: EMS press, 2022. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4171/90-1/3>.
- [2] C.Cheverry N.Raymond. *A guide to spectral theory applications and exercises*. Birkhäuser Cham, 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67462-5>.
- [3] N.Rougerie J.Yngvason. “Holomorphic quantum hall states in higher landau levels”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* (2020). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0004111>.
- [4] S.Fournais P.Madsen. “Semi-classical limit of confined fermionic systems in homogeneous magnetic fields”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* (2020). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-019-00880-6>.
- [5] N Rougerie. *Scaling limits of bosonic ground states from many-body to nonlinear Schrödinger*. 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.02678.pdf>.
- [6] S.Fournais M.Lewin J-P.Solovej. “The semi-classical limit of large fermionic systems”. In: *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* (2018). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-018-1374-2>.
- [7] N.Rougerie. *Théorèmes de de Finetti, limites de champ moyen et condensation de Bose-Einstein*. Spartacus-Idh, 2016. URL: <https://spartacus-idh.com/liseuse/012/>.
- [8] E.H. Lieb R. Seiringer R.L. Frank M. Lewin. “A positive density analogue of the Lieb–Thirring inequality”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* (2013). DOI: [10.1215/00127094-2019477](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2019477).
- [9] E.H.Lieb and R.Seiringer. *The stability of matter in quantum mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 2010. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511819681>.

- [10] J.K.Jain. *Composite fermions*. 2009. ISBN: 9780511607561. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511607561>.
- [11] A.Aftalion S.Serfaty. “Lowest Landau level approach in superconductivity for the Abrikosov lattice close to HC²”. In: *Selecta Mathematica* (2007). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-007-0043-7>.
- [12] Y.Almog. “Abrikosov Lattices in Finite Domains”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (2006). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-005-1463-x>.
- [13] C.Villani. *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. American Mathematical Society, 2003. URL: <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~wgangbo/Cedric-Villani.pdf>.
- [14] A.S.Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer, 1995. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4>.
- [15] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej J.Yngvason. “Ground states of large quantum dots in magnetic fields”. In: *Physical review B* (1995). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03436-1_15.
- [16] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej. “Quantum Dots”. In: (1994). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/cond-mat/9404099.pdf>.
- [17] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej J.Yngvason. “Asymptotics of Heavy Atoms in High Magnetic Fields I. Lowest Landau Band Region”. In: *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* (1994). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160470406>.
- [18] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej J.Yngvason. “Asymptotics of Heavy Atoms in High Magnetic Fields II. Semi-classical Regions”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (1994). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099414>.
- [19] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej J.Yngvason. “Heavy atoms in the strong magnetic field of a neutron star”. In: *Physical Review Letters* (1992). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.749>.
- [20] E.H.Lieb J-P.Solovej. “Quantum Coherent Operators: A Generalisation of Coherent States”. In: *Letters in Mathematical Physics* (1991). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00405179>.
- [21] J.Yngvason. “Thomas-Fermi Theory for Matter in a Magnetic Field as a Limit of Quantum Mechanics”. In: *Letters in Mathematical Physics* (1991). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00405174>.
- [22] K.Chandrasekharan. *Elliptic Functions*. Springer, 1985. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-52244-4>.
- [23] E.H.Lieb. “Variational Principle for Many-Fermion Systems”. In: *Physical Review Letters* (1981). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.457>.
- [24] M.Reed B.Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics II: Fourier analysis self adjointness*. A press INC, 1975. URL: <https://www.elsevier.com/books/ii-fourier-analysis-self-adjointness/reed/978-0-08-092537-0>.